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Abstract

DC operating points of a linearized noninertial network can be, according to the character of their stability, classified into 3 categories: 1. stable, 2. unstable, 3. conditionally stable (conditionally unstable). In the paper it is shown that the process of decision can be based on modified node voltage formulation of network equations. The suggested process consists of formulation of the system matrix, matrix inversion and simple arithmetical manipulations with the elements of the resultant matrix.
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1. Introduction

Consider a complicated resistive (noninertial) network consisting of linear and nonlinear resistors and controlled sources, and ideal operational amplifiers. All the operating points of the network have already been found and we are interested in their stability [1], [2].

The stability of each operating point will be investigated separately.

The characteristics of nonlinear network elements are linearized at the operating point. The problem of operating point stability is thus transformed into a problem of stability of the corresponding linearized network model.

We are dealing with a noninertial network but we are aware that parasitic (stray) capacitances are present between its nodes and parasitic inductances are in series with its branches.

To start our investigation we first assume the existence of a single stray capacitance $C>0$ between nodes $i$ and $j$, as shown in Fig.1. This capacitance, together with the input resistance $R_{in}$ of the network (which is under our assumption noninertial), forms a parallel $RC$ network with a single pole

$$p = -\frac{1}{C R_{in}}$$

(1)

If $R_{in} > 0$ then $p < 0$ and the network is stable. Negative sign of the input resistance measured between the nodes of the capacitance $C$, results in instability.

When cutting any branch we can observe its input conductance, $G_{in}$. This conductance, in combination with a stray inductance $L$, creates a series $RL$ network with

$$p = -\frac{1}{L G_{in}}$$

(2)

Again, if $G_{in} > 0$, the network is stable. Negative sign of the input conductance indicates instability.

As it follows from the above considerations, the stability of the operating point in question can be verified very easily. If all input resistances measured between any pair of nodes and all input conductances observed in all branches are positive, the network is stable under any circumstances, i.e. with arbitrary stray capacitances and inductances and any combination thereof.

A more complicated situation arises when some of the input immittances are negative. The network is conditionally stable (or conditionally unstable, as one wishes). The stability depends on the location of the stray elements in the network or on their total number (two or more).

Fig.2a. The case of input resistance consisting of a positive resistance $R_0$ and negative resistance $R_n$ in parallel with a stray capacitance $C$

We shall illustrate this with a simple network shown in Fig.2a. The network has a negative input resistance $R_{in} < 0$ between the nodes $i$ and $j$. It is unstable with any positive
capacitance C. As seen, the network consists of a parallel connection of a positive resistance \( R_p > 0 \) and a negative resistance \( R_n = -|R_n| < 0 \) of the rest of the network. This negative resistance may be a consequence of internal feedback loops.

According to our previous assumption,

\[
R_m = \frac{R_p R_n}{R_p + R_n} = -\frac{R_p |R_n|}{R_p - |R_n|} > 0
\]

denominator of the fraction being positive. Thus

\[
R_p > |R_n|
\]

Fig. 2b. The case of input resistance consisting of a positive resistance \( R_p \) and negative resistance \( R_n \) with a stray inductance \( L \).

Assume now that instead of the parallel \( C \) we connect a series inductance \( L \) as in Fig. 2b. The input conductance seen by the inductance

\[
G_{in} = \frac{1}{R_p + R_n} = -\frac{1}{R_p - |R_n|} > 0
\]

so that the network in Fig. 2b is stable.

It is therefore obvious that a parallel combination of a positive and a negative resistance is always only conditionally stable. This is true even in the case when \( R_p < |R_n| \).

Fig. 2c. The case of input resistance consisting of a positive resistance \( R_p \) and negative resistance \( R_n \) with both \( C \) and \( L \).

The network in Fig. 2c contains simultaneously two stray elements: a parallel \( C \) and a series \( L \). Its characteristic equation is

\[
\lambda^2 LC + \lambda \left( \frac{L}{R_p} - C |R_n| \right) + 1 = 0
\]

If

\[
|R_n| < R_p
\]

and

\[
\frac{L}{R_p} - C |R_n| > 0, \text{ i.e. if } \frac{L}{C} > R_p |R_n|,
\]

the network is stable, otherwise it is unstable.

### 2. Effective Numerical Calculation of Input Resistances and Conductances

Modified node voltage formulation is used to describe the linearized network. The equations are

\[
\begin{bmatrix}
Y & D_1 \\
D_2 & Z
\end{bmatrix}
\begin{bmatrix}
U \\
I_0
\end{bmatrix}
= 
\begin{bmatrix}
J \\
E
\end{bmatrix}
\]

i.e.

\[
Mx = b
\]

Here

\[
U = [U_1, U_2, \ldots, U_n]^T \quad \text{is vector of } n \text{ node voltages (the superscript } T \text{ denotes transposition)}
\]

\[
I_0 = [I_{01}, I_{02}, \ldots, I_{0m}]^T \quad \text{is vector of } m \text{ additional currents (output currents of voltage sources and operational amplifiers, controlling currents of current-controlled sources)}
\]

\( Y \) is an \( n \times n \) admittance matrix of the regular part of the network

\( D_1 \) and \( D_2 \) are matrices \( n \times m \) and \( m \times n \), respectively. They contain dimensionless elements \( +1, -1, A \) and \( B \) (amplification factors of voltage-controlled voltage sources and current-controlled current sources)

\( Z \) is an \( m \times m \) impedance matrix containing internal resistances of voltage sources and transfer resistances \( W \) of current-controlled voltage sources

\( M \) is the \( (n+m) \times (n+m) \) system matrix,

\( x \) is a vector of unknown quantities,

\( b \) is the right-hand side vector of independent sources.

By inverting the matrix \( M \) we get

\[
R = M^{-1} = 
\begin{bmatrix}
R_{11} & R_{12} & \ldots & R_{1n} \\
R_{21} & R_{22} & \ldots & \ldots \\
\ldots & \ldots & \ldots & \ldots \\
R_{n1} & R_{n2} & \ldots & R_{nn}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

\[
G_{11} \quad \cdots \quad G_{mn}
\]

in (10) we show only elements that will be used in further calculations.
The input resistance between the node $i$ and the datum (reference) node

$$R_{in(i)} = R_{ii}$$  \hspace{1cm} (11)$$

Input resistance between nodes $i$ and $j$ is

$$R_{in(i,j)} = R_{ii} + R_{jj} - R_{ij} - R_{ji}$$  \hspace{1cm} (12)$$

Input conductance measured in series with the resistor $R$ connected (inside the network) between the nodes $i$ and $j$

$$G_{in(i,j)} = \frac{1}{R} \left[ 1 - \frac{R_{in(i,j)}}{R} \right]$$  \hspace{1cm} (13)$$

This conductance is positive if $R_{in(i,j)} < R$, including $R_{in(i,j)} < 0$.

Finally, input conductance measured in series with the branch carrying the current $I_{oi}$

$$G_{in(I_{oi})} = G_{ii}$$  \hspace{1cm} (14)$$

As a result, calculation of all immittances requires only one matrix inversion plus simple algebraic manipulations with some elements of the resultant matrix.

**Example 1**

The network in Fig.3 contains 4 resistors and 2 voltage amplifiers (voltage-controlled voltage sources). If e.g. $R_1 = R_2 = R_3 = R_4 = 1$, the input immittances depend on amplification factors $A_1$ and $A_2$.

$$R_{in(1)} = \frac{2 - A_2}{den}, \quad R_{in(2)} = \frac{2}{den},$$  \hspace{1cm} (15)$$

$$R_{in(1,4)} = \frac{2 - A_2(1 + A_1)}{den}, \quad R_{in(2,3)} = \frac{2 - A_1A_2}{den},$$  \hspace{1cm} (16)$$

$$R_{in(3,4)} = \frac{2(1 - A_2)}{den}, \quad R_{in(1,3)} = \frac{4 - 2A_2 - A_1}{den},$$  \hspace{1cm} (17)$$

where all denominators are

$$den = 4 - A_2(2 + A_1).$$  \hspace{1cm} (21)$$

The results are graphically shown in the plane $(A_1, A_2)$, see Fig.4.

**Fig.4. Boundaries of stability regions of the network in Fig.3**

The hyperbola

$$den = 0, \quad i.e. \quad A_2 = \frac{4}{2 + A_1}$$

determines the boundary where the denominator changes its sign. Other lines represent the loci where the individual numerators change the sign. The hatched area represents conditional instability. The rest of the plane corresponds to the combinations of amplification factors that secure stable operation of the network.

**Example 2**

For the transistor flip-flop in Fig.5a consider the equivalent linearized scheme in Fig.5b. For simplicity assume infinitely large input resistances of the transistors. The
collector current is proportional to the base-emitter voltage,

\[ I_c = g_m u_{be} \]

The admittance matrix of the network is

\[
Y = \begin{bmatrix}
\frac{1}{R_1} + \frac{1}{R_2} & -g m_1 & -\frac{1}{R_2} \\
0 & \frac{1}{R_4} + g m_1 + g m_2 & -g m_2 \\
-\frac{1}{R_2} & 0 & \frac{1}{R_2} + \frac{1}{R_3}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(22)

Most input resistances are negative due to a strong internal positive feedback in the network:

\[
R_{in(1)} = -0.23105, \quad R_{in(2)} = -0.0031, \quad R_{in(3)} = -0.69315,
\]

\[
R_{in(1,2)} = -0.65089, \quad R_{in(2,3)} = -0.301776.
\]

Only the input resistance between nodes 1 and 3 is positive

\[ R_{in(1,3)} = +10.5776. \]

With only a single stray capacitance the network is stable only if the capacitance is connected to the nodes 1 and 3 (i.e. in parallel with \( R_2 \)). If the capacitance appears in any other place, the network is unstable. A question arises whether the network can be stabilized by a simultaneous application of 2 or more capacitances with proper values.

Consider e.g. \( C_1 > 0 \) connected to the nodes 1-0 and \( C_2 > 0 \) between 1 and 3. The characteristic equation of the network,

\[
-3549 + \frac{4I C_1 - 1877C_2}{100} \lambda + 4 \lambda^2 = 0
\]

(23)

indicates that the network is unstable. The coefficients \( a_0 = -3549/100 \) and \( a_2 = +IC_1I_2 \) have different signs, independent of the values of \( C_1 \) and \( C_2 \).

**Example 3**

When \( R_2 \to \infty \) the feedback loop is open and the situation is changed considerably. The admittance and resistance matrices are

\[
Y = \begin{bmatrix}
0.5 & -20 & 0 \\
0 & 41 & -20 \\
-0.1 & 0 & 0.2
\end{bmatrix},
\]

\[
R = Y^{-1} = \begin{bmatrix}
82 & 40 & 8000 \\
41 & 0 & 200 \\
0 & 0 & 410
\end{bmatrix}
\]

Almost all input resistances are positive

\[
R_{in(1)} = 2, \quad R_{in(2)} = 0.0244, \quad R_{in(3)} = 10,
\]

\[
R_{in(1,2)} = 1.0488, \quad R_{in(2,3)} = 5.146,
\]

only \( R_{in(1,3)} = -183.122. \)
To investigate the character of the stability of the network we use again $C_1$ from the node 1 to the reference node and $C_2$ between nodes 1 and 3. The corresponding characteristic equation is in this case

$$\frac{41}{20} + \frac{41C_1 - 3754C_2}{10} \lambda + 41C_1C_2 \lambda^2 = 0.$$  (24)

The coefficients $a_0 = \frac{41}{20}$ and $a_2 = \frac{41C_1C_2}{41}$ are both positive. The network is stable if $a_1 > 0$, i.e. if the values of the capacitances are chosen so that their ratio

$$\frac{C_1}{C_2} > \frac{3754}{41} = 91.56.$$  

3. Effective Determination of the Character of Stability

As shown above, the network under investigation (or the operating point of such a network) may be

1. stable, if all its input resistances and conductances are positive,

2. unstable, if some of the input immittances are negative and the coefficients $a_0$ and $a_2$, denoting the lowest and highest power of $\lambda$, have different signs

3. conditionally stable (conditionally unstable) if some of the input immittances are negative and the coefficients $a_0$ and $a_2$ have equal signs.

It is therefore necessary to calculate the coefficients $a_0$ and $a_2$. For the coefficient $a_0$ we obtain

$$a_0 = \det(M)$$  (25)

Its value can be determined easily as a by-product when inverting the matrix $M$.

The coefficient $a_2$ depends on the number of parasitic reactive elements and on their location in the network. The number $k$ of these elements (must be $k \geq 2$) is proportional to the complexity of the network. Some of these elements are connected to the positive, the rest to the negative immittances. The network matrix $M$ is transformed to obtain $M_b$ such that

$$M_b = \begin{bmatrix} A_1 + pA_1 & B_0 \\ C_0 & D_0 \end{bmatrix},$$  (26)

where $A_1$ is a diagonal matrix $k \times k$.

$$A_1 = \text{diag}(C_1, C_2, \ldots, C_k), \quad C_i, \quad i = 1, 2, \ldots, k$$

are parameters of the reactive elements (all positive).

The coefficient $a_k$ is then

$$a_k = \det(A_1) \det(D_0) = \prod_{i=1}^{k} C_i \det(D_0),$$  (27)

and its sign is the same as the sign of the determinant of the submatrix $D_0$.

Let us apply the procedure to the network analyzed in Example 2. The original system of node voltages

$$U = [U_1, \; U_2, \; U_3]^T$$

is replaced with a new system of voltages

$$U' = [U_1, \; U_1 - U_3, \; U_2]^T$$

through the transformation $BU' = U$.

The transformation matrix

$$B = \begin{bmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 & 0 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

The resultant admittance matrix of the network in transformed coordinates is then

$$Y_b = B^T Y B = \begin{bmatrix} 0.6 & -0.1 & -20 \\ -0.1 & 0.2 & 0 \\ -20 & 20 & 41 \end{bmatrix}.$$  

The submatrix $D_0 = [41]$ and therefore $\det(D_0) = 41 > 0$.

The determinant of the admittance matrix was not affected by the transformation. Thus

$$a_0 = \det(Y_b) = -\frac{3549}{100} < 0.$$  

The operating point is unstable.

4. Conclusion

The stability of DC operating points of linearized noninertial networks can be evaluated using the same set of basic equations that have been used to calculate the
coordinates of the operating points themselves. Each operating point can be classified as 1. stable, 2. unstable or 3. conditionally stable (conditionally unstable). The process of classification described in the paper is quite effective and can be easily incorporated into existing programs for analysis.
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