Insertion Loss Estimation of EMI Filters in Unmatched Input/Output Impedance System
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Abstract. One of the problems in the design of power-line EMI filters is the uncertainty and ambiguity of their source/load impedances which results in breach of expected filter parameters in a real installation. The paper presents a simple technique for prediction of insertion loss limit values of EMI filters working in arbitrary unmatched mains line impedance systems.
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1. Introduction

The power line EMI filters are the most efficient tools for suppression of electromagnetic interference (EMI) which occurs in the power supply networks. Passive LC mains filters suppress electromagnetic interference in two basic ways. The capacitor elements shunt the interference to ground, and the series inductor elements raise the impedance of the line making the shunt capacitor elements even more effective. One of the basic parameters of EMI filters is the RF attenuation introduced by them. Its value depends on source and load terminating impedances of the filter. In power circuits (i.e. mains network) these two quantities, in contrast to the case for communication networks, are not usually known or entirely specified. This fact can cause problems in predicting performance and specifying filters.

The basic setup of an EMI filter connection is illustrated in Fig. 1, in which ZS denotes the source impedance, and ZL the load impedance of the filter. Although both impedances are generally complex, the standard used values are taken as pure real [1].

The insertion loss L [dB] is the commonly measured suppression effectiveness of the filter. It is defined as the ratio of the voltage U20 across the circuit load without filter and the voltage U2 across the load with the filter

\[ L = 20 \cdot \log \left| \frac{U_{20}}{U_2} \right| . \]  \hspace{1cm} (1)

As a linear circuit, the passive (such as LC) filter may be described through a set of arbitrary two-port small signal parameters. We can derive it by using the cascade parameters of the filter following formula [2]

\[ L = 20 \cdot \log \left| \frac{Z_{20}}{Z_{2}} \right| + \frac{1}{Z_{S}+Z_{L}} \cdot A_{12} + \frac{Z_{S}Z_{L}}{Z_{S}+Z_{L}} \cdot A_{22} \]  \hspace{1cm} (2)

where A11, A12, A21, A22 are frequency dependent, complex cascade parameters of the particular EMI filter, i.e. elements of its transfer matrix [A].

Fig. 1. The EMI filter as an ideal two-gate circuit with shown impedances.

The equation (2) illustrates that the insertion loss of a filter depends not only on the filter circuitry, but also on the source and load impedances, and therefore cannot be stated independently on the termination impedances. In many cases, which are typical for the mains EMI filters, the terminating impedances are not known. The filter design itself is a compromise and causes that the chosen filter does not meet declared characteristics.

Consider a typical mains filter according to Fig. 1. It fits between the AC mains supply and the AC-DC converter on the mains input of the fed equipment. The impedance of AC supply network varies from some tenth to some hundreds of Ω during the day, depending on the connected loads. Its value also very substantially depends on the type and construction of the particular main power supply network. These facts are documented in Fig. 2, which is a result of many extensive investigations and practical measurements across the world [2], [3].

On the “load site” of the mains filter, the RF characteristic impedance of the mains leads to the equipment is around 150 Ω, and the impedance of the AC-DC converter circuitry
2. Approximate Limit Values of the System

The equation (2) for insertion loss of a filter can be rewritten to the following form

\[ L = 20 \cdot \log \left| 1 + \frac{Z_L (A_{11} - 1) + Z_F (A_{22} - 1)}{Z_S + Z_L} \right| + \frac{Z_S Z_L (A_{11} + A_{22})}{Z_S + Z_L}. \]  

(3)

Usually we know neither the filter circuit parameters nor the correct values of source and load impedances, and so we cannot state the correct magnitude of insertion loss in the given impedance system. Nevertheless, even by this uncertainty we can give an approximate guideline to estimate the potentially prospective value of an EMI filter insertion loss. In the general equation (3) we can distinguish between two approximate cases, which can be understand as limiting states of all EMI filters.

If the filter works in an impedance system with very high source and load impedances, then \( Z_S \gg 1 \) and \( Z_L \gg 1 \) or more precisely

\[ |Z_{S,L}| = \left| \frac{A_{11} + A_{22} - 2}{A_{21}} \right|. \]  

(4)

By this simplification (3) can be simplified to the form

\[ L \approx 20 \cdot \log \left| 1 + \frac{Z_S Z_L}{Z_S + Z_L} \frac{Z_F}{Z_F} \right| = 20 \cdot \log \left| 1 + \frac{Z_S Z_L}{Z_S + Z_L} \right| \]  

(5)

where \( Z_F = 1/A_{21} \) [Ω] denotes the so-called filter impedance of the particular filter in a high impedance system.

The simplest type of an EMI filter for effective operation just in high impedance systems is the capacitor filter (C-filter) with parallel connected capacitor element depicted in Fig. 3. Its insertion loss is given exactly by the equation (5) with the filter-impedance \( Z_F = 1/j\omega C \). By supposing that \( Z_S \) and \( Z_L \) are real, and \( Z_F \) is purely imaginary, (5) changes to

\[ L_C = 20 \cdot \log \sqrt{1 + \left( \frac{1}{Z_F} \cdot \frac{Z_S Z_L}{Z_S + Z_L} \right)^2} \]  

(6)

where \( Z_F \) denotes the magnitude of the filter impedance.

Secondly, source and load impedances of a filter are very low (i.e. \( Z_S \ll 1 \) and \( Z_L \ll 1 \)), this is the opposite case of the previous described situation. By the following (3) simplifies so we can obtain

\[ L \approx 20 \cdot \log \left| 1 + \frac{A_{11}}{Z_S Z_L} \right| = 20 \cdot \log \left| 1 + \frac{Z_S Z_F}{Z_S + Z_L} \right| \]  

(7)

where \( Z_F = A_{12} \) [Ω] is the filter impedance of the particular filter this time for low impedance network.

In this case, EMI filter is terminated by low input and open-circuit at all others times. Thus, the impedance situation on the output site of the mains EMI filter is nearly the same, it means variable and uncertain as on its input site.

It is necessary to take into account both “matched” 50 Ω/50 Ω and “unmatched” data of EMI filters sometimes given by the manufacturer to avoid the potential “impedance problems”. The CISPR 17 international standard specified the unmatched measurement so-called “worst-case” approximation measurement using a 0.1 Ω and 100 Ω source and load impedances and vice-versa. Because the technical realization of a 0.1 Ω frequency independent real impedance is very difficult, some authors recommend a “nearly worst-case” measurement, which is made with 1 Ω and 100 Ω impedances. Fig. 2 shows, that the potential error caused by using the “nearly worst-case” replacement would be probably very small.

The full realization of the measurement in an unmatched impedance system is very time consuming and technical expensive, so that only very few of filter manufacturers use it. For instance, Schaffner Ltd. gives the “worst-case” data for most of EMI filters, but only in their symmetrical operation mode [4]. To avoid such difficulties, there is presented an approximate method, which enables to estimate the “unmatched” performance of a filter from its data measured in the conventional 50 Ω/50 Ω impedance matched system.

2. Approximate Limit Values of the Unmatched Filter Attenuation

The equation (2) for insertion loss of a filter can be rewritten to the following form

\[ L = 20 \cdot \log \left| 1 + \frac{Z_L (A_{11} - 1) + Z_F (A_{22} - 1)}{Z_S + Z_L} \right| + \frac{Z_S Z_L (A_{11} + A_{22})}{Z_S + Z_L}. \]  

(3)
selected filter fulfil the condition (4) for required source and load impedances (100 Ω and 600 Ω), the insertion loss get near the “highest limit” value of 60.7 dB, in the opposite case, the insertion loss falls to the “lower limit” value of 33.1 dB.

The detailed verification of our treatment is fairly difficult, because the data of EMI filters measured in other than matched 50 Ω/50 Ω impedance system are published only very rarely. So, we have used some available data from Schaffner EMI filters data sheets [4] from which we have selected single stage filters only, that are generally more sensitive to source and load impedances up to the gain providing when they operate with different terminating impedances than those mentioned in specification.

The FN 2020 is a general purpose single stage filter with the insertion loss of 50 dB at 1 MHz measured in a 50 Ω/50 Ω impedance system. The manufacturer’s declared insertion loss in the “worst-case” impedance systems 0.1 Ω/100 Ω and 100 Ω/0.1 Ω makes about 5.5 dB in common mode. This corresponds quite well with introduced procedure, which gives the lowest limit value of 4.2 dB ($L_H$ value).

The next examples provide the single stage three-phase RFI power filter FN 3100. Specified insertion losses are 50 dB at 100 kHz and 80 dB (maximal measured value) at 170 kHz, both in a matched 50 Ω system. “Worst-case” values provided by manufacturer are about 7 dB and 36 dB, respectively, while our analysis gives the limit $L_H$ values of 4.2 dB and 32 dB. The precision of the estimation is fairly good again.

The last selected example presents the general purpose power entry filter FN 290, which is constituted as a single stage EMI filter with the insertion loss of 30 dB at 1 MHz in common mode also terminated by the 50 Ω impedances. The declared “worst-case” attenuation values are about 0.9 dB in 0.1 Ω/100 Ω system and about 30 dB in 100 Ω/0.1 Ω impedance system. Also our procedure above gives similar values: the limit $L_H$ value of approximately 0.1 dB and $L_L$ value of approximately 30 dB. Also by other EMI filters from [4] we have state that measured “worst-case” insertion loss data are always in the limit range determined by the relevant limit values of $L_H$ (6) and $L_L$ (8).

To compare our results also with the practice, we have realized some measurements of insertion loss on two types of EMI filters: the first of them was a SIEMENS MAT-

\[
\begin{array}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline
f [kHz] & L [dB] & \text{measured data} & \text{calculated data} \\
\hline
\text{50 Ω/50 Ω} & \text{1 Ω/100 Ω} & L_H [dB] & L_L [dB] \\
\hline
2 & 40 & 2 & 0.6 & 19.9 \\
\hline
20 & 100 & 80 & 71.9 & 99.9 \\
\hline
\end{array}
\]

Tab. 1. Chosen value of spurious components used for simulation.
SUSHITA Components filter of the type B84263-A21-B13, the second one was the RAY PROOF EMI filter of the type L 2980. We have accomplished our experiments in accordance with CISPR 17 standard [5] in so called “nearly worst-case” system 1 $\Omega/100 \Omega$ and 100 $\Omega/1 \Omega$. Selected results of our measurements together with computed limit range insertion loss values are shown in Tab. 1. We can see, that the measured data of both filters are really in the limit range computed from (6) and/or (8).

4. Conclusions

The paper shows, that even in the case, when the real measured attenuation data in other than matched impedance systems of an EMI filter are missing, it is possible to determine the potentially prospective values of the filter insertion loss. Simultaneously, this determination must be considered as an estimation of potentially limiting values of insertion loss. Then, the real insertion loss measured in the particular unmatched impedance system occurs always in the limit ranges determined by the relevant values of $L_H$ and/or $L_L$, given by equations (6) and (8), respectively.

Acknowledgements

This paper has been prepared as the part of the solution of the grant no. 102/07/0688 “Advanced microwave structures on non-conventional substrates” of the Czech Science Foundation, grant no. 102/09/P215 “Advanced EMI filters Insertion Loss Performance Analyses in System with Uncertain Impedance Termination” of the Czech Science Foundation and with support of the research plan MSM 0021630513 “Advanced Electronic Communication Systems and Technologies (ELCOM)”.

References


About Authors...

Jiří DŘÍNOVSKÝ was born in Litomyšl, Czech Republic, in 1979. He received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees in Electronics and Communication from the Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic, in 2003 and 2007, respectively. His Ph.D. thesis was awarded by Emil Škoda Award in 2007. Since 2006 he has been assistant professor in Electronics and Communication at the Dept. of Radio Electronics, Brno University of Technology. His research activities include selected topics of EMC, EMI measurements, and EMS testing. He is also interested in specialized problems of radiofrequency and microwave measurements. Since 2008, he has been leading the “Radioelectronic measurements” course in master degree study program and since 2009 he has been leading the Electromagnetic compatibility course in bachelor study program at the Faculty of Electrical Engineering and Communication, Brno University of Technology. He is a member of IEEE.

Zdeněk KEJÍK was born in Valtice, Czech Republic. He received his M.Sc. degree in Electronics and Communication from the Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic, in 2009. His research interests include selected topics of EMI filters, design and measurement. Currently, he has been working towards the Ph.D. degree at Department of Radio Electronics, Brno University of Technology.

Václav RŮŽEK was born in Tábor, Czech Republic, in 1985. He received his M.Sc. in Electrical, Electronic, Communication and Control Technology from the Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic, in 2009. His research interests include selected topics of EMI filters, design and measurement. Currently, he has been working towards the Ph.D. degree at Department of Radio Electronics, Brno University of Technology.

Jiří ZACHAR was born in Olomouc, Czech Republic, in 1985. He received his M.Sc. in Electrical, Electronic, Communication and Control Technology from the Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic, in 2010. His research interests include of EMI filters, design and measurement.

Jiří SVAČINA received the M.Sc. and Ph.D. degrees from the Brno University of Technology, Brno, Czech Republic, in 1971 and 1978, respectively. Since 1983 he was assoc. professor, and since 1995 full professor in Electronics and Communication at the Dept. of Radio Electronics, Brno University of Technology. His research interests included theoretical and mathematical problems of special planar structures for microwave integrated circuits and microwave measurements. He was interested in specialized problems of EMC, EMI, and EMS. Prof. Svačina was a member of the Scientific and Pedagogical Boards of FEEC, Brno University Technology, and a member of the scientific boards of FEC CTU in Prague, and UWB in Pilsen, and of the Dept. of Radio Engineering, Czech Academy of Sciences in Prague. He was a Senior Member of IEEE, U.S.A., and a Fellow of IEE, U.K. Jiří Svačina suddenly died in 2009.