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Abstract. Cognitive radio (CR) is a promising technology that enables opportunistic utilization of the temporarily vacant spectrum to mitigate the spectrum scarcity in wireless communications. Since secondary users (SUs) should vacate the channel in use immediately after detecting the reappearances of primary users (PUs) in cognitive radio networks (CRNs), the route reliability is a distinctive challenge for routing in CRNs. Furthermore, the throughput requirement of an SU session should be satisfied and it is always preferable to select a route with less negative influence on other current or latish sessions. To account for the route reliability challenge, we study the joint link and channel assignment routing problem for CRNs. It is formulated in a form of integer nonlinear programming (INLP), which is NP-hard, with the objective of minimizing the interference of a new route to other routes while providing route reliability and throughput guarantee. An on-demand route discovery algorithm is proposed to find reliable candidate paths, while a joint link and channel assignment routing algorithm with sequentially-connected-link coordination is proposed to choose the near-optimal route for improving the route reliability and minimizing negative influence. Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm achieves considerable improvement over existing schemes in both route reliability and throughput.
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1. Introduction
Cognitive radio (CR) aims to mitigate the spectrum scarcity problem in wireless communication through opportunistic access to the radio spectrum. Based on the development of software defined radio technologies, secondary users (SUs) can dynamically detect the temporarily vacant spectrum and access the idle spectrum without unacceptable interference to the primary users (PUs). This flexible capability provides a great potential for enhancing the efficiency of spectrum utilization and improving the network capacity of cognitive radio networks (CRNs) [1]. In the last decade, many efforts have been devoted to the physical layer and MAC layer issues in CRNs [1], [2]. Nevertheless, it is likely that single-hop optimization may become largely inefficient in end-to-end performance consideration. The channel and power assignment given by an optimized MAC protocol can be quite inefficient in the view of a multi-hop flow which may traverse several regions influenced by different PUs. As a result, the performance at high layers is another important aspect for CRN optimization, such as end-to-end performance and network performance on route reliability and throughput.

Routing in a CRN is to establish and maintain a multi-hop route through joint relay node selection and channel assignment, rather than choosing only relay node as in traditional wireless networks. The node selection is tightly coupled with channel selection [3]. According to the relationship between the scales of channel availability time and flow duration, different strategies should be investigated for a CRN [4]. We focus on a scenario in which the available channels on a route stay invariant during the routing process, but may change during the lifetime of the flows. Routing in such a context is expected to be prevalent in CR applications.

For SUs, the interference to PUs is prohibited or needs to be strictly controlled. Only the channels unused by PUs are regarded as usable for SUs. The assigned channels of an established route should be vacated immediately after detecting presence of PU activities and the on-going SU session may be interrupted. Thus channel availability is dominated by the geographical distribution and real-time channel occupation of PUs, resulting in the dynamic heterogeneous channels in the network. For dynamic routing in a CRN, route performance is challenged by distributed multi-hop networks, dynamic network topology, diverse quality-of-service (QoS) requirements, and time and location dependent channel availability [5]. Yet frequent re-routing is prone to induce a broadcast storm, radio resource wastage, and severe degradation of end-to-end performance such as in throughput and delay [7]. Thus, it is desirable to choose the routes which are likely to have a greater longevity [8], [9]. In this paper, we use route
reliability to evaluate the feature of a route to provide the greater longevity, high and stable throughput, less channel switching or re-routing, and less negative impact on other flows, in the dynamic heterogeneous channel environment. We focus on joint link and channel assignment routing with high reliability under an assumption that the probability of the channel availability for a finite time, the channel bandwidth, and the transmission range of SUs are known.

In addition to route reliability, another concern of dynamic routing is the influence of a new established route to other concurrent or latish routes in the network. For a session request, a joint link and channel assignment routing scheme should be based on the current channel availability and load information in the network. From the network layer perspective, it is desired to fulfill as many session requirements as possible to improve service performance as well as to improve network throughput. Yet it is impossible or impractical for the current flow to acquire the performance evaluation information of other concurrent or latish sessions. As a result, it is preferred to choose the route that satisfies the throughput demand of the session while having minimal negative influence on other sessions for the network throughput consideration.

In this paper, we study the joint link and channel assignment routing problem in the dynamic channel scenario of CRNs. A cross-layer optimization scheme is proposed to construct the near-optimal reliable route. Firstly, we define a new interference-impact metric to indicate the interference of a route on the adjacent routes, and then formulate the path stability and route reliability. Secondly, we formulate this joint optimization problem in the form of integer nonlinear programming (INLP), which is NP-hard in general, with the objective of minimizing the interference-impact ratio while providing route reliability and throughput guarantees. Thirdly, we propose a two-step method to obtain the near-optimal solutions of the joint optimization problem, based on the problem-specific feature of the formulation. An on-demand route discovery algorithm with expurgation of weak paths is used in the first step to obtain robust candidate paths. A joint routing and channel assignment algorithm through the sequentially-connected-link coordination is used in the second step to construct the route. It will provide throughput guarantee from these candidate paths with minimal interference-impact ratio. Finally, simulations results are presented, demonstrating that the proposed joint link and channel assignment routing scheme provides significant improvement in route performance over existing schemes.

The rest of this paper is organized as follows. Section 2 reviews related works, followed by the system model and routing problem formulation for a dynamic channel environment of CRNs in Section 3. The routing problem is transformed and the joint link and channel assignment routing algorithm is proposed in Section 4. In Section 5, the performance of the proposed scheme is evaluated through extensive simulations. Section 6 concludes the work.

2. Related Work

In a dynamic heterogeneous channel environment of CRNs, the main challenge in network layer performance comes from the uncertainty of the channel availability, which results from the variable PU activities in CRN.

To accommodate the dynamic channel environment, several heuristic reactive approaches have been proposed. In [10], links with more usable channels are regarded as more robust and the weighted sum of hop counts and valid channel numbers are taken as the path cost. In [11], the channel number of a link, which is weighted by the usable probability, is regarded as the link reliability, and links whose reliability is higher than a threshold are taken as potential usable links to compose a robust route.

Compared to the connectivity analysis based on current PU activities, PUs activity modeling has a potential to increase spectrum opportunities and has attracted much attention in the spectrum sensing and access [8], [12]. There have been several reliability concerned routing approaches based on PU activity modeling in literatures. Shih et al. [13] take the presence behavior of PUs on each link-channel pair with an arrival rate following a Poisson distribution. The probability that no PU appears on a channel of a link is taken as the link-channel robustness. The maximum link-channel robustness is regarded as the link robustness while the product of the link robustness over a route denotes the route robustness. It has the same meaning as route reliability in the terms of longevity of routes, and the maximal link-channel valid probability (MLCVP) based robustness metric is used. However the usable channel number of a link is not considered in the robustness computation. It keeps the route robustness from precisely reflecting the real robustness of a route only based on the probability of no PU appearance.

Other researchers focus on the channel switching schemes in dealing with PU activities to avoid frequently re-routing. A joint spectrum handoff scheduling and routing optimization scheme is proposed in [14], based on the prediction of PU activities to improve the route throughput. In [15], the maintenance cost is considered in routing process, and the total cost of route establishment and route maintenance is minimal in the route selection phase. Guan et al. [16] estimate the link available period in a CRN and multiply a re-routing penalty factor to the routing metric for reducing the re-routing frequency. But this approach requires network-wide knowledge, collection of which is a complex task.

For the joint link and channel assignment optimization, many efforts have been made to construct a multi-path route [17], [18], [19]. While multi-path routes have shown to be preferable in throughput, the implementation of multi-path route in wireless networks is complex, with the problems such as complicated routing table and packet reordering. These efforts differ from ours in this paper, which focuses on minimizing the influence of the selected
route on other concurrent route or intending flows on the constraint of throughput and route reliability. Moreover, in conventional networks, application-level flows generally use single-path routing [20]. This is another reason that only single-path route optimization is investigated in this paper.

The problem of link and channel assignment with maximal session throughput is a challenging issue for routing in CRNs. In [21], we propose a heuristic algorithm for high probability of feasible solutions to address practical multi-hop routing problems in a cognitive radio ad hoc network. We focus on obtaining solutions for multi-hop routing in a distributed and dynamic network. In this paper, we deal with how to obtain the reliable route from multi-link and multi-link-channel pairs, based on the joint link and channel assignment routing scheme. These two papers deal with the similar problem but in different respects.

3. Assumption System Model and Problem Formulation

3.1 Network Architecture

We consider a CRN consisting of a set of $N$ SU nodes. The radio spectrum in the network is divided into a set $M = \{ m \mid m = 1, 2, \ldots, M_{\text{ch}} \}$ of non-overlapping channels, where $m$ is the channel index and $M_{\text{ch}}$ is the number of channels in the CRN. The bandwidth of channel $m$ is denoted by $b_m$, which can be different for different channels. Each node is capable of transmitting on a channel while receiving on another channel at the same time. The overlay approach is considered in this study, where the SUs access a licensed channel only when no PU is using it. A link between two neighboring nodes $i$ and $j$ is denoted by $<i, j>$. When routing, a new link may be set up for a new route in the CRN only if the two nodes locate in the transmission range $r_T$ of each other and have at least one common usable channel, i.e. $C_i \cap C_j \neq \emptyset$. We consider bidirectional rather than unidirectional links because link-level acknowledgements are necessary in the dynamic heterogeneous channel environment of CRNs.

For inter-link interference control, we adopt the protocol model[25], in which both the transmitter and receiver of a link need to be free of interference to sustain bidirectional links. The interference range is denoted by $R_s = (1 + \Delta)r_T$ (3)

where $\Delta$ is an interference guard parameter. We use $\Delta = 0.5$ in this study while the analysis and algorithms are equally applicable to other value of $\Delta$. For link $<i, j>$ using channel $m$, the link set $I_m^\circ$, which may interfere with link $<i, j>$ when using channel $m$ concurrently, is given by

$$I_m^\circ = \{<x, y> \mid d_{ab} \leq R_s, ab = xi, yi, xj, yj\}$$ (4)

where $d_{ab}$ denotes the distance between node $a$ and node $b$. We assume that the neighboring nodes $i$ and $j$ can obtain the information of interference set $I_m^\circ$ for each channel $m$ within the common channel set $C_y = C_i \cap C_j$ through local beacon message exchange.

To avoid interference to the existing neighboring links, only the channels, which do not interfere with the already existing neighboring links, can be used by a new link. Thus, the usable channel set for the new link $<i, j>$ is

$$C_y^\circ = \{m \mid m \in C_y, I_m^\circ = \emptyset\}.$$ (5)

For link $<i, j>$, the link-channel Shannon capacity when using channel $m$ ($m \in C_y^\circ$) for transmission is given by

$$B_m^a = b_m \log_2(1 + \frac{g_m p}{\sigma^2})$$ (6)

where $\sigma^2$ is the noise power, and $b_m$ is the bandwidth of channel $m$. The interference item for capacity calculation in
indicates that channel \( \sum x_i s d \) \( \delta \), destination means that channel \( t p t p t \) choose a channel with a minimal interference-impact ratio. A negative influence on the neighboring links, a link should accept less flows using the same channel. To minimize its usable channels [13]. Link, \( m \) in CRNs. we define a link-valid probability (LVP) metric for routing on its potential neighboring links is investigated. The function, \( P \) with more usable channels and higher probability of channel with activity modeling or runtime prediction. In this study, we different PU applications and can be obtained through PU availability. The function, \( p \) with more usable channels and higher probability of channel is defined as

\[
\delta_n^m = \frac{B_n^m}{\sum_{m \in C} B_n^m}
\]  

(7)

denote the importance of channel \( m \) for link \( i, j \) in capacity. A larger value of \( \delta_n^m \) means that channel \( m \) is more important for the link in terms of the link-channel capacity. The interference-impact ratio of link \( i, j \) using channel \( m \) is defined as

\[
\phi_n^m = \sum_{<k,j-m \in \delta_k} \delta_n^m.
\]  

(8)

A higher value of \( \phi_n^m \) indicates that channel \( m \) is a less preferable channel for neighboring links, i.e., if link \( i, j \) selects channel \( m \), its neighboring links have to accept less flows using the same channel. To minimize its negative influence on the neighboring links, a link should choose a channel with a minimal interference-impact ratio.

### 3.3 Link-channel Interference-impact Ratio

To derive the interference-impact ratio [26], the influence of an existing neighboring link \( i, j \) with channel \( m \) on its potential neighboring links is investigated.

Let

\[
\delta_{ij}^m = \frac{B_n^m}{\sum_{m \in C} B_n^m}
\]  

(9)

denote the importance of channel \( m \) for link \( i, j \) in capacity. A larger value of \( \delta_{ij}^m \) means that channel \( m \) is more important for the link in terms of the link-channel capacity. The interference-impact ratio of link \( i, j \) using channel \( m \) is defined as

\[
\phi_{ij}^m = \sum_{<k,j-m \in \delta_k} \delta_{ik}^m.
\]  

(10)

A higher value of \( \phi_{ij}^m \) indicates that channel \( m \) is a less preferable channel for neighboring links, i.e., if link \( i, j \) selects channel \( m \), its neighboring links have to accept less flows using the same channel. To minimize its negative influence on the neighboring links, a link should choose a channel with a minimal interference-impact ratio.

### 3.4 Path-valid Probability and Route Reliability

For a session request in the network, it is desirable to construct a robust route that can still be usable even when PUs are present on some of its assigned channels, so as to maintain end-to-end performance with less route restoration overhead and fewer re-routing times. In this subsection, we define a link-valid probability (LVP) metric for routing in CRNs.

Let \( p_{ij}^m(t) \) denote the probability of no PU working on channel \( m \) for link \( i, j \) over a time interval \( t \). For simplicity, assume that \( p_{ij}^m(t) \) is independent among all usable channels [13]. Link \( i, j \) is regarded to be valid as long as there is at least one channel that can be used for the link. Let \( p_{ij}^m(t) \) denote the LVP of link \( i, j \). Then

\[
p_{ij}^m(t) = 1 - \prod_{m \in C} [1 - p_{ij}^m(t)].
\]  

(11)

It can be inferred from (9) that a link is more stable with more usable channels and higher probability of channel availability. The function, \( p_{ij}^m(t) \), is likely different for different PU applications and can be obtained through PU activity modeling or runtime prediction. In this study, we assume that \( p_{ij}^m(t) \) has been obtained [13] and its computation or prediction is out of the scope of this work.

Let \( \theta_l = (l_0, l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n) \) denote a candidate path for a new route, where \( l_0, l_1, l_2, \ldots, l_n \) are the indexes of the nodes on path \( l \). A path can be regarded as valid only if all the links on the path are valid. The path-valid probability (PVP) of a path over a time interval \( t \) is

\[
p_{ij}^m(t) = \prod_{k=0}^{n} p_{k,k+1}^m(t) = \prod_{k=0}^{n} [1 - \prod_{m \in C} [1 - p_{ij}^m(t)]].
\]  

(12)

From (10), it is preferred to choose paths with more available link-channel pairs along the path, higher LVPs, and less hops.

### 3.5 Problem Formulation

A dynamic traffic model, with the random network-layer session arrival, is considered in this study. A session request is characterized by the source node \( s \), destination node \( d \), throughput demand \( b \), and session duration requirement \( t \). For implementation simplicity (as explained in Section 2), only single-path routing is considered. We aim to investigate a joint link and channel assignment routing scheme which minimizes the interference to other flows, satisfies the throughput requirement, and keeps the route valid at a given probability \( p_{ij}(0 < p_{ij} \leq 1) \) over the session interval \( t \).

To facilitate the relay node and channel assignment, two variables are defined as

\[
x_{ij} = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } i, j \text{ is selected} \\ 0, & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}
\]  

(13)

A relationship between them is given by

\[
\sum_{m \in C} y_{ij}^m \leq x_{ij}.
\]  

(14)

Mathematically, the problem of selecting a single-path route with the minimal interference-impact ratio, guaranteed throughput and reliability is formulated in Problem 0.

- **Problem 0.** Single-path routing:

\[
\min \sum_{i,j \in A} y_{ij}^m \phi_n^m
\]  

subject to

\[
y_{ij}^m \leq x_{ij},
\]  

(15)

\[
\sum_{i,j,p \in A} x_{ip} = 1,
\]  

(16)

\[
\sum_{i \in A} x_{ip} - \sum_{j \in A} x_{ij} = 0, \quad \forall i \in N \{s, d\},
\]  

(17)
\[ y_{ij}^m + \sum_{<p,q> \in L^m_{ij}} y_{pq}^m \leq 1, \quad (18) \]
\[ \min \{ B_{ij}^m \mid y_{ij}^m = 1 \} \geq b_0, \quad (19) \]
\[ \prod_{<i,j> \in S, x_{ij} = 1} p_{ij}(t_0) \geq p_0, \quad (20) \]

where \( L^m_{ij} \) and \( L^m_{pq} \) denote the incoming and outgoing link sets of node \( i \) respectively.

In Problem 0, \( x_{ij} \) and \( y_{ij}^m \) are optimization variables. The objective function (14) is to minimize the interference-impact of the selected route. Constraints (15) - (17) establish a single-path route from the source node to the destination node with one channel assigned to each link; constraint (18) is for prohibiting the inter-link interference; constraint (19) guarantees that the required flow rate, which is the minimal link throughput on the path, is satisfied; constraint (20) guarantees the life time of the chosen route no less than \( t_0 \) with a possibility \( p_0 \). The problem is in a form of INLP, which is NP-hard in general and cannot be solved in polynomial time[27].

4. Problem Analysis and Proposed Solutions

In this section, we first investigate the specific features of the original problem. Then an on-demand route discovery protocol is proposed to obtain robust candidate paths as well as the channel availability information along these paths. Based on the information, a joint link and channel assignment routing algorithm is proposed to determine a desired route.

4.1 Problem Analysis and Transformation

The formulation of Problem 0 is in an INLP form, for which the possible solutions or near-optimal solutions are difficult to be obtained. We now take a close look at Problem 0 to investigate its specific features.

- **Linear objective function**: Since we assume that all SUs can obtain current channel usage information of neighboring links, the interference-impact ratio \( \phi_{ij}^m \) of each link-channel pair can be calculated and the objective function is a weighted linear summation of binary variables.

- **Linear constraints**: Constraints (16)-(20) are linear flow constraints which guarantee that a single-path route satisfying throughput requirement is chosen without intra-flow interference. The binary variables make the problem fall into the classification of integer programming. However, it is noticed that the determination of the binary variables is only related to the adjoining or interfering links, following these constraints.

- **Nonlinear constraint**: Route reliability constraint (20) is in the form of product and the constraint can only be verified for each specific path. It makes the development of a near-optimal solution very complex.

From the preceding analysis, it can be concluded that the complexity of Problem 0 optimization arises mainly from the binary variables and the product form of constraint (20). Now we take it as a practical cross-layer designing problem in CRNs rather than a sole optimization problem. It is costly or even unaffordable for SUs to have the entire network information through proactive routing strategies. A practical routing strategy is to discover the route with an on-demand mode. Thus it is possible to expurgate the poor paths in the route discovery process rather than through the optimization at the destination node. Furthermore, although the determination of \( x_{ij} \) and \( y_{ij}^m \) is interrelated, i.e., the interactional relationship between the link selection and channel selection, \( y_{ij}^m \) can be determined according to the value of \( x_{ij} \) since a channel may be selected only if a link is selected. Based on these characteristics, Problem 0 can be transformed into the following two problems:

- **Problem 1.** Robust paths discovery:

  \[ \text{Find } \theta_i = (l_0, l_1, \cdots, l_k) \]
  \[ \text{subject to } l_0 = s, l_k = d \]
  \[ \prod_{k=0, \ldots, k=n-1} p_{l_k}(t_0) \geq p_0, \quad p_0 > 0 \]

- **Problem 2.** Channel assignment optimization:

  \[ \min \sum_{y_{ij}^m} \phi_{ij}^m \]
  \[ \text{subject to } y_{ij}^m \leq x_{ij} \]
  \[ y_{ij}^m + \sum_{<p,q> \in L^m_{ij}} y_{pq}^m \leq 1 \]
  \[ \min \{ B_{ij}^m \mid y_{ij}^m = 1 \} \geq \eta b_0 \]

**Problem 1** is to find all candidate paths for a route which satisfy the reliability requirement, while **Problem 2** is to generate a route with channels assigned to its candidate paths. It is easy to conclude that there is no optimality loss in the problem transformation. Although the first constraint of Problem 2 is formally the same as that of Problem 0, \( x_{ij} \) has been determined in Problem 1 and can be taken as a given input in the optimization of Problem 2.

For the former problem, an on-demand robust path discovery algorithm is proposed, with weak path expurgation, to acquire the candidate robust paths and the channel
availability information along the paths. For the latter problem, a near-optimal joint link and channel assignment routing algorithm is proposed.

### 4.2 On-demand Path Discovery with Expurgation of Weak Paths

To solve Problem 1 as well as to collect channel availability and interference information for the later cross-layer optimization, an on-demand path discovery algorithm is proposed, which is derived from a dynamic source routing (DSR) protocol [28]. The pseudo code of the algorithm is presented in Algorithm 1 and is explained in the following.

#### Algorithm 1

**Input:** \( \{s, d, p_s, b_s\} \)

1. The source node \( s \) broadcasts an RREQ.
2. Intermediate node \( j \in N[s, \{s, d\}], j \neq s, d \) that receives an RREQ from the neighbor node \( i \in N[s, \{s, d\}], i \neq d \)
   - If \( j \) has processed this RREQ or \( \mathbf{C}_j^0 = \emptyset \)
     - Discard the RREQ
   - Append link \( < i, j > \) to the path
   - Check \( p_s(t) \), \( \Theta = \{(l_0, l_1, ..., l_m, l_d) | l_0 = s, l_{m+1} = i, l_d = j\} \)
   - If \( p_s(t) < p_b \), discard the RREQ
   - Append \( \mathbf{C}_j^0 \) and \( \mathbf{I}_j^0 \) to the RREQ and rebroadcast it
3. The destination node \( d \):
   - If destination node \( d \) receives an RREQ
     - Start a timer and collect all RREQs of the session
     - Decode the stability-eligible path set \( \Theta_{s,d} \)

When a session request comes with destination node \( d \), the throughput requirement \( b_s \), the session interval \( t_0 \), and a sequence number \( n_{req} \) (since rebroadcast may be necessary), the source node \( s \) embeds these information in the route request message (RREQ) and broadcast it on the common control channel. The content of the RREQ is illustrated in Tab. 1.

All the necessary local channel availability information is assumed to have been acquired through individual or collaborative spectrum sensing system when the RREQ is received. When an intermediate node \( j (j \notin \{s, d\}) \) receives an RREQ from a neighbor node \( i \), it checks \( \mathbf{C}_j^0 \) to determine whether there is at least one usable channel for link \( < i, j > \). If \( \mathbf{C}_j^0 = \emptyset \), it means that no channel can be used and node \( j \) just discards the RREQ. Otherwise, node \( j \) appends link \( < i, j > \) to the path embedded into the RREQ and executes a reliability examination for the renewed path following equation (10).

Through the reliability examination, the unqualified paths are eliminated in the discovery process. Thus the complexity of the route discovery and the cross-layer optimization for the destination node is reduced. To avoid vain forwarding and route looping, each intermediate node only processes the RREQ from a specific neighbor one time and will drop all subsequences.

When the destination node \( d \) receives the first RREQ from the source node \( s \), it starts a timer and collects all the RREQ of the source node \( s \) until the timer expires. The destination node \( d \) also executes the reliability examination and reserves only the reliable ones. The stability-eligible path set is denoted by \( \Theta_{s,d} = \{\Theta = (l_0, l_1, ..., l_s) | l_0 = s, l_s = d, \prod_{k=0, ..., s} p_{h_{l_k}}(t_0) > p_b\} \) (28)

Meanwhile, the channel availability and link interference information along the candidate paths, i.e. \( \mathbf{C}_j^0 \) and \( \phi_j^m (m \in \mathbf{C}_j^0) \) for each link \( < i, j > \in \{\Theta | l_i = s, l_s = d\} \in \Theta_{s,d} \), are also obtained.

#### 4.3 Joint Link and Channel Assignment Routing

Based on the eligible candidate paths and channel availability information attained from the path discovery, the destination node \( d \) constructs the desired route to solve Problem 2. The aim of the proposed joint link and channel assignment routing (JLCAR) algorithm through sequentially-connected-link coordination is to construct a route that has minimal interference-impact ratio and satisfies the throughput requirement.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>RREQ</th>
<th>S</th>
<th>D</th>
<th>( t_0 )</th>
<th>( b_s )</th>
<th>( n_{req} )</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>( l_1 )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{C}_j^0 )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{I}_j^w )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_2 )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{C}_j^w )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{I}_j^w )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( \ldots )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( l_k )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{C}_j^w )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{I}_j^w )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( i )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{C}_j^w )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{I}_j^w )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>( j )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{C}_j^w )</td>
<td>( \mathbf{I}_j^w )</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 1.** Content of the RREQ for \( \Theta = \{l_0, ..., l_s, l_d\} | l_0 = s, l_s = d \).
Problem 2 is in the form of binary programming and is still NP-hard in general. The main complexity arises from the constraint \(26\) which requires a channel not to be assigned to two or more interfering links. As we take \(\Lambda = 0.5\) in this study, three sequentially-connected links on a path are sure to interfere with each other if they use the same channel. The basic idea of JLCAR is to coordinate the channel assignment within the three sequentially-connected links rather than the whole path, in order to avoid the exponential complexity. The complete pseudo code of the algorithm is given in Algorithm 2, where \(m_{01}, m_{12}, m_{23}\) are the usable channel indexes of the three sequentially-connected links on the path \(\theta_j \in \Theta_{s,d}\), link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\), link \(<i_1,i_2>_j\), and link \(<i_2,i_3>_j\), respectively. The selected channel for link \(<i_2,i_3>_j\), which is the two-hop neighbor link of link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\) towards the source node \(s\), is denoted as \(m'_{2,1}\), when node \(i_0\) is a multi-hop neighbor (\(\geq 3\) hops) of source node \(s\).

In Algorithm 2, for candidate path \(\theta_j = (s,i_0,i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1},d) \in \Theta_{s,d}\), the algorithm starts from the channel assignment of the first link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\). It chooses a channel \(m\) that satisfies

\[
\begin{align*}
\min_{m} \phi^m_{i_0,i_1} \\
\text{s.t.} & \quad B^m_{i_0,i_1} \geq b_0, \\
& \quad y^m_{i_0,i_1} + \sum_{<p,q> \in C^m_{i_0,i_1}} y^m_{p,q} \leq 1
\end{align*}
\]

(29)

If there is no satisfied channel, it can be concluded that no satisfied route can be constructed on this path and the next candidate path is considered subsequently. Otherwise, it turns to the subsequent link \(<i_1,i_2>_j\), which chooses a channel following a similar equation as (29). If no satisfied channel can be used for link \(<i_1,i_2>_j\), the channel assignment of link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\) is backtracked. The selected channel of link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\) is deleted from the candidate usable channel set and another channel is selected following (29). Then link \(<i_1,i_2>_j\) executes channel assignment again. It is the same as link \(<i_2,i_3>_j\) except that the channel assignment of link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\) can be backtracked if no feasible assignment can be obtained after backtracking link \(<i_1,i_2>_j\).

Algorithm 2:

Input: \(\Theta_{s,d}, C^f_y, B^m_y\) and \(\phi^m_y (m \in C^f_y)\)

for each path \(\theta_j \in \Theta_{s,d}\)

if \(i_0 \neq s, i_1, i_2\),

\(m_{01} \in C^f_{i_0}, m_{01} \neq m_{2,1}\)

else

\(m_{01} \in C^f_{i_0}\)

end

for link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\>

select channel \(m_{01}\) with \(\min \phi^m_{i_0,i_1}\), s.t. \(B^m_{i_0,i_1} \geq b_0\), \(y^m_{i_0,i_1} + \sum_{<p,q> \in C^m_{i_0,i_1}} y^m_{p,q} \leq 1\)

if no satisfied \(m_{01}\) exists,

delete path \(\theta_j = (s,i_0,i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1},d)\) and go to next path.

end

for link \(<i_1,i_2>_j\>

select channel \(m_{12}\) with \(\min \phi^m_{i_1,i_2}\), s.t. \(B^m_{i_1,i_2} \geq b_0\), \(y^m_{i_1,i_2} + \sum_{<p,q> \in C^m_{i_1,i_2}} y^m_{p,q} \leq 1\)

if no satisfied \(m_{12}\) exists,

delete the selected \(m_{01}\) of link \(<i_0,i_1>_j\) from \(C^f_{i_0}\) and reselect channels for the two links.

if no satisfied channels for the two links,

delete path \(\theta_j = (s,i_0,i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1},d)\) and skip for next path.

end

for link \(<i_2,i_3>_j\>

select channel \(m_{23}\) with \(\min \phi^m_{i_2,i_3}\), s.t. \(B^m_{i_2,i_3} \geq b_0\), \(y^m_{i_2,i_3} + \sum_{<p,q> \in C^m_{i_2,i_3}} y^m_{p,q} \leq 1\)

if no satisfied \(m_{23}\) exists,

delete the selected \(m_{12}\) of link \(<i_1,i_2>_j\) from \(C^f_{i_1}\) and reselect channels for the two links.

if no satisfied channels for the two links,

delete the selected channel \(m_{12}\) of \(<i_1,i_2>_j\) from \(C^f_{i_1}\) and reselect channels for the three links.

if no satisfied channel assignment scheme,

delete path \(\theta_j = (s,i_0,i_1,\ldots,i_{n-1},d)\) and skip for next path.

end

end

Choose a channel for each following link similar to link \(<i_2,i_3>_j\>

Calculate the interference-impact for the route.

Return the route with minimal interference-impact ratio.

If the backtracking can be executed for all links ahead, optimal solution of Problem 2 can be found, yet the complexity is exponential. In this algorithm, we restrict the backtracking within the former two links. This channel assignment operation is executed for each subsequent link on this path. It is easy to conclude that the channel assignment of a link can be determined without further changes after the channel assignment of the subsequent two links.
After the channel assignment of each candidate path, the interference-impact ratio of the route is computed. At the end of the algorithm, the route with minimal interference-impact ratio is selected. Then the destination node $d$ embeds the selected route in a route reply (RREP) message and sends it back to the source node $s$ along the reverse route for route construction. Note that it is also possible that no satisfied route can be found, for which case a failure message is sent to the source node $s$.

5. Performance Evaluation

In this section, we evaluate the performance of the proposed joint link and channel assignment routing scheme via simulations based on Matlab.

5.1 Route Reliability

Firstly, we compare the proposed reliability metric LVP with MLCVP [13] and the shortest path route (SPR) metric used in DSR [28]. The simulation topology is illustrated in Fig. 1.

![Fig. 1. The simulation topology.](image)

The global channel set $M = \{1,2,3,4\}$ and the presence of PUs on the channels is assumed to follow Poisson distributions with parameters $\{\lambda_i, 2\lambda, 3\lambda, 4\lambda\}$. In Fig. 1, a number in a circle “$\circ$” denotes the SU node index and a number in braces “$\{ \}$” above a link sign “$-$” denotes as available channel for the link. There are three candidate paths from the source node 1 to the destination node 2, which are $\theta_1 = \{1,3,4,5,2\}$, $\theta_2 = \{1,6,7,8,9,2\}$ and $\theta_3 = \{1,10,11,12,13,2\}$.

We vary the value of $\lambda$ to compare the path longevity on different channel variation scenarios. The simulation results are given in Fig. 2. Different paths are regarded as the most preferable path by different reliability metrics. Because a link with more channels indicates the link with higher LVP, a link with the most number of available channels is selected by the LVP scheme. The MLCVP scheme focuses only on the maximum valid probability of a link-channel pair and ignores the number of channels a link has. The SPR scheme tends to select the shortest path. Three different paths, $\theta_1$, $\theta_2$, $\theta_3$, are chosen by LVP, MLCVP and SPR, respectively. It is observed that the longevity of $\theta_1$ is longer than that of $\theta_2$ and $\theta_3$ by $28\%$–$36\%$ and $12\%$–$20\%$ respectively. The results indicate that choosing a robust route following LVP can be much more preferable than the two counterparts. Combining consideration of link selection and channel assignment can help to obtain a reliable route.

![Fig. 2. Path longevity vs. $\lambda$.](image)

5.2 Route Throughput

In the second simulation, we compare the throughput of the generated routes following JLCAR and the minimum accumulated spectrum temperature routing algorithm (MAST) proposed in [29]. The simulated CRN locates in a $200$ m $\times$ $200$ m square, consisting of $60$ SU nodes. There are $10$ channels in the network, bandwidths of which are randomly selected from $1$ Mbps to $4$ Mbps. The initial usable probability of each channel is $p^0 = 0.3 - 0.75$ and the parameter $\lambda$ is $0.2\times10^{-3}$–$2.0\times10^{-4}$. The presence of PUs on the channels is assumed to follow Poisson distributions with parameters $\{\lambda, 2\lambda, 3\lambda, 4\lambda\}$ again. The route requirements under consideration are the route throughput $h_0$ of $2$ Mbps and the session duration $t_0$ of $120$ s.

![Fig. 3. Throughput vs. time.](image)

First, we assign the source node and the destination node at (40 m, 40 m) and (160 m, 160 m) respectively. The throughputs of the routes generated by JLCAR and MAST with $p_0 = 0.6$ and $0.7$ are shown in Fig. 3, each data point of which is an average of $50$ randomly generated
topologies. It can be observed that JLCAR with $p_0 = 0.6$ (JLCAR-0.6) and JLCAR with $p_0 = 0.7$ (JLCAR-0.7) can both guarantee the throughput in the demand session time ($d_0 = 120$ s). On the other hand, the route throughput by MAST cannot be guaranteed to be at least $d_0$.

Next, we compare the end-to-end performance of ten nodes at randomly generated locations, routes of which are selected successively. The average throughput comparison for different SU transmission ranges is presented in Fig. 4.

![Fig. 4. Throughput with $d_0 = 120$ s vs. SU transmission range.](image_url)

It can be seen that the average route throughput increases with the increase of the transmission range, and the JLCAR scheme gives a higher throughput than MAST by 11% and 6% when the route valid probability is $p_0 = 0.6$ and 0.7 respectively. Moreover, the throughput of JLCAR-0.6 is higher than that of JLCAR-0.7. This is because higher $p_0$ will expurgate more candidate paths which may provide bigger throughput. The phenomenon indicates that higher reliability requirement may reduce the maximal obtained route throughput.

6. Conclusions

In this paper, the joint link and channel assignment routing problem is studied for a dynamic heterogeneous channel environment of CRNs. The path-valid probability and route reliability are formulated based on the link-valid probability, and the interference-impact ratio is introduced to capture the influence of a route on its adjacent routes. Based on the measures, we propose a two-step method to obtain the near-optimal solutions of the joint link and channel assignment routing problem, which is a NP-hard problem. An on-demand path discovery with expurgation of weak paths algorithm is proposed to find reliable candidate paths in the first step. A joint link and channel assignment routing algorithm through sequentially-connected-link coordination is proposed to assign the links and channels with high reliability and throughput to satisfy the session demand in the second step. Simulation results demonstrate that the proposed algorithm can improve the path longevity, while improving whole network throughput. It not only guarantees the throughput, but also enhances the route reliability.
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