Space Alignment Based on Regularized Inversion Precoding in Cognitive Transmission
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Abstract. For a two-tier Multiple-Input Multiple-Output (MIMO) cognitive network with common receiver, the precoding matrix has a compact relationship with the capacity performance in the unlicensed secondary system. To increase the capacity of secondary system, an improved precoder based on the idea of regularized inversion for secondary transmitter is proposed. An iterative space alignment algorithm is also presented to ensure the Quality of Service (QoS) for primary system. The simulations reveal that, on the premise of achieving QoS for primary system, our proposed algorithm can get larger capacity in secondary system at low Signal-to-Noise Ratio (SNR), which proves the effectiveness of the algorithm.
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1. Introduction

With rapid development of wireless communications, the shortage of spectrum resources has become a bottleneck. To improve the spectrum efficiency, the state-of-the-art technique, cognitive radio [1], draws a lot of attention in recent years, where some primary users have priorities to use the spectrum bands and other secondary users can only transmit opportunistically without generating unacceptable interference to the primary ones. However, at high SNR, the available dimensions left by primary user are scarce, as stated in [2], [3], which results in low throughput of secondary users.

To address this problem, several approaches have been proposed. The novel concept of Interference Alignment (IA) is introduced to solve the interference in licensed network caused by cognitive network [4]. In [5], [6], a transmitted precoding matrix is designed to align the signal of cognitive user into the null space of channel matrix of primary system, and a post-processing matrix at cognitive receiver is also designed to whiten the interference from primary system. By this means, the two systems can simultaneously access the spectrum. In [7], multiple cognitive users are considered as an extension of the work in [5], [6]. To deal with the scarcity of transmitted dimensions for cognitive users at high SNR, a threshold water-filling power allocation algorithm is ingeniously designed. By the modified threshold, some transmitted dimensions of primary system are released without damaging its QoS. With the emerging dimensions, the precoding matrices for both primary transmitter and cognitive transmitter and the post-processing matrix for the common receiver are also designed in [8]. Notice that, in [8], the design of precoding matrix for cognitive transmitter does not consider the normalization of power, which destroys the transmitted power constraint for the cognitive system.

In this paper, we extend the work in [8] with considering the power normalization for precoder. An improved precoder design and space alignment scheme based on regularized inversion [9], [10] are proposed. The proposed method can achieve capacity improvement for secondary system at low SNR.

The rest of the paper is organized as follows. In Sec. 2, we describe the system model. In Sec. 3, we propose the improved precoder design and the space alignment scheme after analyzing the impact of power normalization. Section 4 presents some numerical results to validate the effectiveness of our proposed method. Finally, Sec. 5 concludes this paper.

2. System Model

As shown in Fig. 1, the primary transmitter, P, and the cognitive transmitter, S, share the same spectrum, and send their own information simultaneously to a common receiver, R. P has the priority to use the spectrum and S only opportunistically utilizes the dimensions left by the primary transmitter without interfering the reception of primary signal. All transmitters, P and S, and the common receiver, R, are equipped with $M(M > 1)$ antennas.

The received signal vector, $\mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}$, at R can be represented as

$$\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{H}_P \mathbf{G}_P \mathbf{x}_P + \mathbf{H}_S \mathbf{G}_S \mathbf{x}_S + \mathbf{z}, \quad (1)$$
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where \( \mathbf{x}_P \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1} \) and \( \mathbf{x}_S \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1} \) denote the symbol vectors transmitted by \( P \) and \( S \); the transmission of \( P \) and \( S \) is subject to a total power constraint, \( P_d, \) i.e., \( \text{Trace}\{\mathbf{x}_P\mathbf{x}_P^H\} \leq P_d \) and \( \text{Trace}\{\mathbf{x}_S\mathbf{x}_S^H\} \leq P_d \); \( \mathbf{G}_P \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) and \( \mathbf{G}_S \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) represent the precoding matrices of transmitter \( P \) and \( S \), which are orthonormal with respect to their columns and satisfy \( \text{Trace}\{\mathbf{G}_P\mathbf{G}_P^H\} = \text{Trace}\{\mathbf{G}_S\mathbf{G}_S^H\} = M; \) \( \mathbf{H}_{RP} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) and \( \mathbf{H}_{RS} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) denote the channel matrices from \( P \) and \( S \) to \( R \); each element in \( \mathbf{H}_{RP} \) and \( \mathbf{H}_{RS} \) is \( \mathcal{C}\mathcal{N}(0,1) \) distributed and independent with each other; \( \mathbf{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1} \) indicates the Zero Mean Circular Symmetric Complex Gaussian Noise (ZMCSCGNN) and is \( \mathcal{C}\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2_z \mathbf{I}_M) \) distributed. At the common receiver, \( R \), we adopt post-processing matrix, \( \mathbf{F} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M}, \) to detect the signal from \( P \) and \( S \), \( \mathbf{x}_P \) and \( \mathbf{x}_S \).

We further assume the channels of the primary and cognitive networks experience flat slow fading. Note that some Channel State Information’s (CSIs) are required for the design of precoding and post-processing matrices. We assume \( P \) and \( R \) only acquire the perfect \( \mathbf{H}_{RP} \) of the primary system, and \( S \) can get the whole perfect CSIs, \( \mathbf{H}_{RS} \) and \( \mathbf{H}_{RP} \). Actually, the CSIs can be acquired by the channel reciprocity in Time-Division Duplexing (TDD) communications [11] and CSI exchange techniques in Frequency-Division Duplexing (FDD) communications [12].

### 3. Space Alignment Scheme Based on Regularized Inversion

In this section, we first review the precoder design of primary system. And then we propose an improved precoder design for secondary system and space alignment based on regularized inversion as an important extension of the work in [8].

#### 3.1 Review of Primary System Design

To maximize the throughput of primary system, we should take Singular Value Decomposition (SVD) to the channel matrix, \( \mathbf{H}_{RP} \), to decouple the channel and adopt Water-filling Power Allocation (WPA) algorithm to optimally allocate different power on the decoupled channels.

Denote the SVD of \( \mathbf{H}_{RP} \) as

\[
\mathbf{H}_{RP} = \mathbf{U}_P \Lambda_P \mathbf{V}_P^H,
\]

where \( \mathbf{U}_P \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) and \( \mathbf{V}_P \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) are unitary; and \( \Lambda_P = \text{diag}\{\lambda_{P1}, \lambda_{P2}, \ldots, \lambda_{PM}\} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) is composed of all the singular values of \( \mathbf{H}_{RP} \) in descending order. And then the precoding and post-processing matrices for primary system can be designed as

\[
\mathbf{G}_P = \mathbf{V}_P \quad \text{and} \quad \mathbf{F} = \mathbf{U}_P^H.
\]

With \( \mathbf{G}_P \) and \( \mathbf{F} \), the detected symbols of primary system at receiver \( R \), \( \mathbf{x}_P \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1}, \) can be represented as

\[
\mathbf{x}_P = \mathbf{Fy} = \mathbf{U}_P^H\mathbf{y} = \mathbf{U}_P^H \left[ \left( \mathbf{U}_P \Lambda_P \mathbf{V}_P \right) \mathbf{V}_P^H \mathbf{x}_P + \mathbf{H}_{RS} \mathbf{G}_S \mathbf{x}_S + \mathbf{z} \right] = \Lambda_P \mathbf{x}_P + \mathbf{U}_P^H \mathbf{H}_{RS} \mathbf{G}_S \mathbf{x}_S + \mathbf{z}',
\]

where \( \mathbf{z}' = \mathbf{U}_P^H \mathbf{z} \) is still \( \mathcal{C}\mathcal{N}(0, \sigma^2_z \mathbf{I}_M) \) distributed due to the unitary property of \( \mathbf{U}_P^H \). The second item in (4) denotes the interference from cognitive transmitter \( S \) to the reception of \( \mathbf{x}_P \). We first focus on capacity maximization for primary system ignoring the interference from \( S \). In Sec. 3.2, the precoder for cognitive transmitter \( S \) will be developed in detail to avoid this interference. The diagonal elements of \( \mathbf{\Lambda}_P \) in (4) represent the gain of the equivalent decoupled channel. According to \( \mathbf{\Lambda}_P \), WPA algorithm can be implemented to further maximize the capacity of primary system.

Denote \( \mathbf{P}_P = \text{diag}(\mathbf{P}_{P1}, \mathbf{P}_{P2}, \ldots, \mathbf{P}_{PM}) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \) and \( \mathbf{sp} \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times 1} \) be the power allocation matrix and the transmitted symbol with unitary power for primary system, respectively. Thus, we have \( \mathbf{x}_P = \mathbf{P}_P \mathbf{sp} \). With WPA algorithm, the power allocation matrix, \( \mathbf{P}_P \), is derived as follows [13]

\[
P_{Pk} = \begin{cases} 
\mu - \frac{\sigma^2_{z_k}}{\mathbf{P}_{Pk}} & \text{if } \left( \mu - \frac{\sigma^2_{z_k}}{\mathbf{P}_{Pk}} \right) \geq 0, \\
0 & \text{else},
\end{cases}
\]

\[
k = 1, 2, \ldots, M,
\]

where the constant \( \mu \) represents the horizontal line of power for WPA algorithm. Note that the \( k \)th dimension in \( \mathbf{sp} \) cannot be used to transmit symbols of primary system if \( P_{Pk} = 0 \).

As stated in [8], at high SNR, all \( P_{Pk} \)s are positive and large capacity is then achieved. However, for two-tier networks, the dimensions left for cognitive system is few or even zero. Therefore, cognitive network cannot transmit its symbols or can work with low throughput. In [8] and [14], a modified WPA algorithm with a threshold is proposed to solve this issue. By introducing a threshold, primary system can release some eigen-directions with relative low channel gains to cognitive system while guaranteeing the QoS requirement for primary system. The power allocation matrix with modified WPA algorithm, \( \mathbf{F}_P(P_{Ph}) = \text{diag}(\mathbf{P}_{P1}(P_{Ph}), \mathbf{P}_{P2}(P_{Ph}), \ldots, \mathbf{P}_{PM}(P_{Ph})) \in \mathbb{C}^{M \times M} \), can be computed as
where the constant threshold, \( P_{th} \in [0, Pd] \), depends on the QoS requirement of primary system. In this case, extra diagonal elements of \( P_p(P_{th}) \) are set to be 0, which means more dimensions are squeezed for transmission of cognitive system. And the corresponding capacity can be denoted as

\[
C(P_{th}) = \log_2 \det (I_M + P_p(P_{th}) \Lambda_P \Lambda_P^H),
\]

where \( \Lambda_P \) is the precoding matrix for cognitive system. And thus, the precoding matrix for cognitive system can be selected as

\[
\Lambda_P = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_M),
\]

\( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_M \), where \( \lambda_M \) is the maximum capacity as \( C_0 = \alpha C_0, \alpha \in (0, 1) \), where the maximal capacity \( C_0 \) can be achieved by (5) or by (6) with \( P_{th} = 0 \) [8]. For a given \( \alpha \), we always select the maximum of \( P_{th} \) to make \( C(P_{th}) \geq \alpha C_0 \).

\subsection{3.2 Precoder Design for Secondary System}

From (4), to avoid interference to primary system, the precoding matrix for cognitive system must satisfy the following condition,

\[
U_p^H H_{RS} G_S = \beta P^{1/2},
\]

where normalization factor \( \beta \) is to guarantee the constraint for \( G_S \), i.e.,

\[
\text{Trace} \{G_S G_S^H\} = M, \quad \text{and the matrix} \quad \tilde{P} = \text{diag}(P_1, P_2, \ldots, P_M) \in C^{M \times M}
\]

\[
\tilde{P}_k = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } P_{th}(P_k) = 0, \\ 0 & \text{else}, \end{cases}
\]

\( k = 1, 2, \ldots, M \).

Due to the eigen-direction releasing strategy in (6), we have \( \tilde{P} = \text{diag}(0, 0, 0, 1, \ldots, 1) \). And \( m = \sum_{k=1}^M \tilde{P}_k \) denotes the total degrees of freedom left by primary system, which can be used by cognitive system to transmit their symbols.

Without loss of generality, \( H_{RS} \) is assumed to be full ranked. And thus, the precoding matrix for cognitive system, can be derived from (8) as

\[
G_S = \beta H_{RS}^{-1} U_p P^{1/2}.
\]

\[\text{Denote } P_S = \text{diag}(P_{S1}, P_{S2}, \ldots, P_{SM}) \in C^{M \times M} \text{ be the power allocation matrix for cognitive network. With (8) and (10), the average capacity for cognitive system can be further computed as}
\]

\[
C_S = \max_{P_S} \log_2 \det \left( I_M + P_p^2 \sum_{k=1}^M \tilde{P}_k P_S^H P_S \right)
\]

\[
= \max_{P_S} \log_2 \det \left( I_M + \frac{P_p^2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^M \tilde{P}_k P_S^H P_S \right)
\]

\[
= \max_{P_S} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{P_p^2}{\sigma_n^2} \sum_{k=1}^M \tilde{P}_k P_S^H P_S \right)
\]

\[
= M \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{\beta^2 P_p^2}{m \sigma_n^2} \right).
\]

Note that, the uniform power allocation with \( P_S = P_d / m \) is applied to achieve the maximum channel capacity due to the arithmetic-geometric inequality [15]. From (11), it is observed that the upper bound of channel capacity of secondary system largely depends on \( \beta \). Thus, increasing \( \beta \) becomes an effective way to improve capacity.

With SVD, \( H_{RS} \) is represented as \( H_{RS} = U_S A_S V_S^H \), where \( U_S \in C^{M \times M} \) and \( V_S \in C^{M \times M} \) are unitary; and therefore, \( H_{RS}^{-1} = V_S A_S^{-1} U_S^H \). \( A_S \) and \( A_S^{-1} \) are defined as

\[
A_S = \text{diag}(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \ldots, \lambda_M),
\]

\[
A_S^{-1} = \text{diag}(\frac{1}{\lambda_1}, \frac{1}{\lambda_2}, \ldots, \frac{1}{\lambda_M}),
\]

where \( \lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_M \).

Considering (10), we can further calculate that

\[
\text{Trace} \{G_S G_S^H\} = \text{Trace} \{\beta^2 H_{RS}^{-1} U_p P^{1/2} (H_{RS}^{-1})^H\}
\]

\[
= \beta^2 \sum_{k=M-M+1}^M \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right)^2
\]

\[
= M.
\]

(14)

From (14), if \( H_{RS} \) is an ill-conditioned matrix, especially at low SNR, that is, some singular values, \( \lambda_{SM} \), are very small, then \( \sum_{k=M-M+1}^M \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \) will be a large number. In this case, to satisfy the constraint \( \text{Trace} \{G_S G_S^H\} = M \), \( \beta \) will be a small number, which leads to the decline of capacity performance for secondary system from (11). To solve this problem, a concept of regularized inversion [10] is introduced to calculate the inverse matrix \( H_{RS}^{-1} \) as

\[
H_{RS}^{-1} = H_{RS}^H (H_{RS} H_{RS}^H + \varepsilon^2 I_M)^{-1},
\]

(15)

where \( \varepsilon^2 \), with initial value \( \frac{M \sigma_n^2}{\beta^2} [9, 10] \), is an introduced interference factor. In the following subsection, we will detailedly present the selection strategy of \( \varepsilon^2 \). With (15), the singular-value matrix \( A_S^{-1} \) of \( H_{RS} \) and the improved precoding matrix for secondary system can be modulated as follows

\[
\tilde{A_S}^{-1} = \text{diag} \left( \frac{\lambda_{S1}}{\lambda_{S1} + \varepsilon^2}, \lambda_{S2} / \lambda_{S2} + \varepsilon^2, \ldots, \lambda_{SM} / \lambda_{SM} + \varepsilon^2 \right),
\]

\[
\tilde{G_S} = \beta H_{RS}^{-1} U_p P^{1/2},
\]

where \( \beta \) can be obtained by replacing \( \frac{1}{\lambda_{SM}} \) in (14) by \( \frac{\lambda_{SM}}{\lambda_{SM} + \varepsilon^2} \) in (16).

At low SNR, as \( \varepsilon^2 = \frac{M \sigma_n^2}{\beta^2} \), we have \( \frac{\lambda_{SM}}{\lambda_{SM} + \varepsilon^2} < \frac{1}{\lambda_{SM}} \). Further from (14), the decreasing of \( \sum_{k=M-M+1}^M \left( \frac{1}{\lambda_k} \right)^2 \) will
lead to the increase of $\beta$. And thus, the capacity of secondary system is improved from (11). At high SNR, the interference factor $\epsilon^2$ is small enough to be ignored and the capacity of the two-tier system can remain unchanged. Besides, it should be noted that with new precoding matrix signals sending by the S will introduce certain interference to the primary system, so the selection of $\epsilon^2$ should compromise between the QoS requirement for primary system and the capacity improvement for secondary system. In the following part, an effective SA scheme is proposed to maximize the channel capacity of the secondary system while guaranteeing the QoS requirement of primary system.

3.3 Iterative Space Alignment Scheme

With the precoder based on regularized inversion, the received signals for P at R will include the crosstalk interference from S. The initial value of $\epsilon^2$ is small enough to be ignored and the capacity of the two-tier system can remain unchanged. Besides, it should be noted that with new precoding matrix signals sending by the S will introduce certain interference to the primary system, so the selection of $\epsilon^2$ should compromise between the QoS requirement for primary system and the capacity improvement for secondary system. In the following part, an effective SA scheme is proposed to maximize the channel capacity of the secondary system while guaranteeing the QoS requirement of primary system.

1) P and R acquire the perfect $H_{RP}$ of the primary system; and thus, both of them can achieve the water-filling power threshold $P_{th}$ with the QoS requirement of $\alpha C_0$, and also the precoding matrix $G_p$, post-processing matrix $F$, the power allocation matrix $P_p$ from (2), (3) and (6).

2) Assuming S has the whole perfect CSIs, it can get not only all the calculated results in step 1) but also the improved precoding matrix $G_3$ with (17). Furthermore, the initial capacity of primary system with $\epsilon^2 = \frac{M \sigma_n^2}{\sigma^2}$ can be computed as

$$C_{P_0} = \sum_{k=1}^{M-1} \log_2 \left( 1 + \frac{S(k)}{\sigma_n^2 + J(k)} \right),$$

(18)

where $S(k)$ and $J(k)$ represents the desired signal power and the “cross-introduced” interference power of k-th symbol, respectively.

3) At transmitter S, compare $C_{P_0}$ with $\alpha C_0$ where $i = 0, 1, \cdots$ denotes the iterative number. If $C_{P_i} < \alpha C_0$, that is, the QoS requirement is not satisfied, then reduce $\epsilon^2$ by a fix small step, $\epsilon^2 = \epsilon^2 - \frac{M \sigma_n^2}{K P_s^2}$, where $K$ denotes the maximum number of iterations; and go back to step 2). Otherwise, if $C_{P_i} \geq \alpha C_0$, stop the iteration and optimal SA scheme is achieved.

In this scheme, the QoS requirement of the primary system is guaranteed through the adaptive adjustment of the introduced interference from secondary system. Besides, the throughput of the secondary system can also be improved significantly.

4. Numerical Results

In this section, we present some numerical results to validate the effectiveness of the proposed algorithm. The transmitters P and S and receiver R are all equipped with $M = 8$ antennas. The transmitted power for single antenna is normalized and thus $P_d = 8$. $\alpha$ is set to be 0.9 to ensure high QoS for primary system.

Figure 2 shows the capacities for both primary system and secondary system versus $\epsilon^2$ for SNR = 0 dB and SNR = 5 dB. Here, $\epsilon^2$ is normalized by $\frac{M \sigma_n^2}{\sigma^2}$. From Fig. 2, it can be observed that, with the decline of $\epsilon^2$, the capacity of primary system is monotonely decreasing while the capacity of primary system is monotonely increasing. Specifically, when $\epsilon^2 = 0$, there is no “crosstalk” interference from S to the received signal for primary system. With the introduction of $\epsilon^2$, the secondary system can achieve a large improvement.

To ensure the QoS requirement of primary system, $\epsilon^2$ should be reduced to a proper value. Even in that case, the secondary system can still achieve a large capacity improvement as shown in Fig. 2.

![Fig. 2. Capacities for both primary system and secondary system versus normalized $\epsilon^2$ for SNR = 0 dB and SNR = 5 dB.](https://example.com/f2.png)

Figure 3 compares the capacities of both primary system and secondary system when the algorithm in [8] and the proposed algorithm are adopted. From Fig. 3, for the capacity performance of secondary system, our proposed algorithm outperforms that in [8], especially at low SNR. Also we should notice that, in the secondary system, the capacity of our proposed algorithm is little worse than that with when the initial value of $\epsilon^2$ is applied. As stated in Sec. 3.3, the initial value of $\frac{M \sigma_n^2}{\sigma^2}$ for $\epsilon^2$ aims to maximize the SINR and thus the largest capacity for secondary system. However, this procedure will generate interference to primary system, even destroy the QoS requirement of primary system. Therefore,
the interference to primary system should be deceased by reducing $\varepsilon_2$. Figure 4 illustrates the modification procedure of $\varepsilon_2$. By this means, the QoS requirement of primary system is satisfied while some capacity improvement is still achieved.

For primary system, our proposed algorithm and that in [8] both meet the QoS requirements. However, there exists some gaps between the two algorithms. It attributes to the following two causes: a). The capacity of the primary system is achieved by threshold-based WPA algorithm, which is essential to select minimum available spatial dimensions. In this case, the primary system usually obtains larger capacity than the QoS requirement, especially at high SNR. This will lead to some performance redundancy for the primary system. b). The improved algorithm in this paper can adaptively adjust the amount of the interference to make the capacity of the primary system be closer to the QoS requirement. At high SNR, both algorithms achieve similar throughput for both primary system and secondary system because $\varepsilon_2$ is too small to be ignored.

5. Conclusion

Considering a special network with a primary transmitter, a secondary transmitter and a common receiver, we propose a regularized inversion based precoder design and an iterative space alignment scheme. By adaptively adjusting the introduced interference from secondary system to primary system, the proposed algorithm can maximize the capacity of secondary system while guaranteeing the QoS requirement of primary system. Numerical results demonstrate the capacity improvement of secondary system, especially at low SNR.
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