Abstract. A 35-GHz wideband low-temperature co-fired ceramic (LTCC) vertical off-center-fed dipole antenna is presented. The widened bandwidth of the dipole antenna is achieved by paralleling a ring-shaped stub placed above the ground with a vertical off-center-fed dipole. The fabricated dipole antenna with a dimension of $7.5 \times 19 \times 0.94 \text{mm}^3$ exhibits a measured $-10\text{dB}$ $|S_{11}|$ bandwidth of 25.4% covering the frequency range of 31.2 to 40 GHz and a measured maximum gain of 4.4 dBi at 32 GHz with a fluctuation of 1.7 dB over 31 to 39 GHz frequency range. Then, a $2 \times 2$ array was designed. The array with a dimension of $15 \times 25 \times 0.94 \text{mm}^3$ exhibits a measured $-10\text{dB}$ $|S_{11}|$ bandwidth of 28.6% covering the frequency range of 30 to 40 GHz and a maximum gain of 10.37 dBi at 31 GHz with a fluctuation of 1.8 dB over 31 to 39 GHz frequency range. The radiation performances of the dipole antenna and its array were also measured. Good agreement is obtained between the simulations and measurements.
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1. Introduction
Millimeter-wave (mmW) antennas and arrays with wideband and high integration are receiving increasing demand recently. The low-temperature co-fired ceramic (LTCC) technology is a good option for integration at mmW because of light weight, low profile, compactness and excellent high frequency performance. Over past few years, antennas for wideband and high integration based on LTCC have been investigated such as patch antennas [1–6], dipole antennas [7], [8], helical antennas [9], [10], horn antennas [11], etc. Among them, the dipole antenna has the simplest structure and implementation.

Simply speaking, the dipole antenna can be classified into two types: horizontal-fed dipole antennas [12–16] and vertical-fed dipole antennas [17], [18]. For easy understanding, Figure 1 shows the structures of the horizontal-fed and vertical-fed dipole antennas. It can be seen that the horizontal-fed dipole antenna is similar with the edge-fed patch antenna. The dipole and the feeding structure are easy to be printed in the same substrate. The vertical-fed dipole antenna is similar with the probe-fed patch antenna. The dipole and the feeding structure are hard to be printed in the same substrate.

Compared with horizontal-fed dipole antennas, vertical-fed dipole antennas have less coupling between the radiator and the feeding structure for the orthogonal relation between them. Some vertical-fed dipole antennas with wideband were also presented [17], [18]. It should be noted that a vertical wideband balun is always needed to feed the dipole in them. Therefore, it can be said that all these dipole antennas are not suitable for integration using LTCC, because only blind vias can be implemented in vertical direction which causes hard realization of the vertical wideband balun. It is not so easy to achieve an integrated wideband vertical-fed dipole antenna based on LTCC.

A 60-GHz wideband LTCC vertical stripline-fed dipole array with a $-10\text{dB}$ $|S_{11}|$ bandwidth of 15.7% was reported in [7]. For widening the bandwidth, two resonances were generated by the dipole and feeding aperture respectively. Nevertheless, this technology is not suited for a microstrip-fed dipole which benefits from easy integration of active components, since the resonance generated by the feeding aperture will produce an omnidirectional radiation.
In [8], we present a wideband vertical dipole antenna fed by substrate integrated waveguide (SIW) using LTCC technology working at Ka band. A transverse slot is etched on the upper ground of the SIW for widening the bandwidth of the dipole antenna. Therefore, the slot is in series with the dipole, which can change the input impedance. However, the SIW feeding structure merits low loss but incompactness because of its large size. The slot is placed on the feeding structure, which is also a reason of the incompactness of the feeding structure. Besides, the bandwidth is widened a little, because the slot just can change the input impedance a little.

In this paper, a 35-GHz LTCC vertical off-center-fed dipole antenna fed by microstrip is proposed. For achieving wideband, a ring-shaped stub was paralleled with a vertical off-center-fed dipole. The feeding aperture is not designed as a radiator. Therefore, the radius is designed as small as possible to reduce the radiation back lobe. The ring-shaped stub is not placed in the feeding structure which is microstrip. Therefore, the feeding structure is compact. Besides, the ring-shaped stub resonates near the working band, therefore the input impedance can be changed greatly. As a result, the bandwidth can be widened more effectively. Then, a $2 \times 2$ planar array is formed for high gain. The proposed array exhibits wideband and high-gain characteristics.

2. Antenna Element

2.1 Antenna Element Configuration and Performances

Figure 2 shows the configuration of the proposed dipole antenna. The multi-layer LTCC substrate used is Ferro A6-M with dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_r = 5.9 \pm 0.2$ and loss tangent $\tan \delta = 0.002$ at 35 GHz. Each LTCC layer has a thickness of 0.094 mm. The antenna is composed of four parts: one dipole with two arms of different lengths, two parallel signal vias, a ring-shaped stub and feeding microstrip. The arm 1 is connected to the microstrip through a circular aperture on the ground by the signal via 1, while the arm 2 is connected to the ground by the signal via 2. The length of the two signal vias is about $\lambda_g/4$ ($\lambda_g$ is the wavelength in the LTCC substrate at 35 GHz). The ring-shaped stub placed above the ground consists of two half rings lying on two different layers with end connection implemented by a blind via. The characteristic impedance of the microstrip is 50 $\Omega$. The detailed dimensions of the proposed dipole antenna are shown in Tab. 1.

The two signal vias can be seen as a parallel double transmission line whose characteristic impedance can be expressed as (1) [19]:

$$Z_0 = \frac{\eta_0}{\pi} \ln \left[ \frac{D}{d} + \sqrt{\left(\frac{D}{d}\right)^2 - 1} \right]$$

(1)

where $\eta_0$ is the wave impedance, $D$ is the spacing between the two lines and $d$ is the diameter of each line. The radius of the circular aperture should be as small as possible because if it is large, the circular aperture can radiate effectively which will cause a large radiation back lobe. There is a gap between the circular aperture and the signal via 1.

![Fig. 2. Configuration of the proposed dipole antenna: (a) 3D view and (b) top and side views.](image)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$l_1$</td>
<td>0.97</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$l_2$</td>
<td>1.38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_1$</td>
<td>0.15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_2$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$w_3$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_1$</td>
<td>0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$a_2$</td>
<td>0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_1$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$d_2$</td>
<td>0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_1$</td>
<td>0.94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_2$</td>
<td>0.846</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_3$</td>
<td>0.094</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$h_4$</td>
<td>0.282</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_1$</td>
<td>0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$r_2$</td>
<td>0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1. Detailed dimensions of the proposed dipole antenna.
The minimum width of a gap should be wider than 0.15 mm because of the limitation of the fabrication. For easy fabrication, 0.2-mm gap was selected. As a result, the radius of the circular aperture is 0.3 mm. In addition, the distance between the two signal vias should be as short as possible, because one signal via is connected to the feeding microstrip and the other one is connected to the ground. If the distance is long, the amplitude of the currents on the two signal vias will be different which will cause an unbalanced feeding of the dipole. Therefore, this distance was chosen to be 0.4 mm. According to formula (1) and the other dimensions shown in Tab. 1, the characteristic impedance of the two signal vias should be about:

\[ Z_0 = \frac{120\pi}{\pi\sqrt{5.9}} \ln \left[ \frac{0.4}{0.1} + \sqrt{\left( \frac{0.4}{0.1} \right)^2 - 1} \right] = 100 \Omega. \]

As a result, the input impedance of the dipole should be about 200 Ω to get impedance matched according to the quarter-wavelength impedance transformation theory (the characteristic impedance of the feeding microstrip is 50 Ω and the length of the signal vias is about \( \lambda_d/4 \)). As mentioned in [20], the input impedance of a center-fed half-wavelength dipole is about 73 Ω and the off-center feeding technology can increase the input impedance rapidly. Therefore, the proposed dipole is off-center-fed.

For illustrating the effect of the stub, the simulated \( |S_{11}| \) of the antennas with and without the stub are plotted in Fig. 3(a). As observed, the antenna without the stub has only one resonant pole at 32.5 GHz and the \(-10\)-dB \( |S_{11}| \) bandwidth is narrower than 15% covering the frequency range of 30.6 to 35.8 GHz. On the other hand, the antenna with the stub has two resonant poles at 31.8 and 37.7 GHz. The \(-10\)-dB \( |S_{11}| \) bandwidth is 27.9%, covering the frequency range of 30.3 to 40 GHz, which is almost twice as wide as that of the antenna without the stub.

### 2.2 Working Principle

For understanding how the bandwidth of the antenna without the stub is widened, the comparisons of the simulated input admittances of the antennas (\( Y_{in,d} \)) and without the stub (\( Y_{in,\bar{d}} \)) are shown in Fig. 3(b). The position and direction of both input admittances are depicted in Fig. 2(a). As observed in Fig. 3(b), the \( Y_{in,\bar{d}} \) is nearly \((0.02 + j0)\) S at 32.5 GHz, which corresponds to input impedance of \((50 + j0)\) Ω. However, the \( \text{re}(Y_{in,d}) \) decreases and the \( \text{im}(Y_{in,d}) \) becomes negative at higher frequencies, which deteriorates the impedance matching. Therefore, the bandwidth is narrow.

On the other hand, the antenna with the stub has better impedance matching at higher frequencies, while keeps good impedance matching almost unchanged at about 32.5 GHz. This is because the stub coupled with the circular aperture on the ground resonates as a parallel RLC circuit at about 32.5 GHz, while it resonates as a series RLC circuit at 43.9 GHz.

For easy understanding, the equivalent circuits of the stub at 32.5 and 43.9 GHz are proposed in Fig. 4(a). As shown, when the stub resonates as a parallel RLC circuit, the input admittance of the stub (\( Y_{in,\bar{d}} \)) is almost zero and \( Y_{in,\bar{d}} = Y_{in,d} + Y_{in,s} \approx Y_{in,d} \), so the stub has little effect on the dipole. And when it resonates as a series RLC circuit, both the \( \text{re}(Y_{in,d}) \) and \( \text{im}(Y_{in,d}) \) become large. Besides, the \( \text{im}(Y_{in,s}) \) and the \( \text{im}(Y_{in,d}) \) are opposite in sign. Thus, the \( Y_{in,\bar{d}} \) is improved at higher frequencies. At 37.7 GHz, the \( Y_{in,\bar{d}} \) is increased to about \((0.02 + j0)\) S. As a result, another resonant pole at 37.7 GHz is generated while the resonant pole at 32.5 GHz does not disappear and the bandwidth is widened.

A broadband equivalent circuit is also proposed as shown in Fig. 4(b). This equivalent circuit can work at all working band. The equivalent circuit is extracted by using the proposed working principle. The \( R_1, L_1 \) and \( C_1 \) are used for introducing the series RLC resonance at 43.9 GHz, the \( R_1 \), which is small, indicates the loss of the series RLC circuit. The \( L_2 \) is used for introducing the parallel RLC resonance at 32.5 GHz, and the \( C_2 \), which is large, indicates the loss of the parallel RLC circuit. The detailed values are: \( R_1 = 10 \, \Omega \), \( L_1 = 0.79 \, \text{nH} \), \( C_1 = 0.0165 \, \text{pF} \), \( R_2 = 200 \, \Omega \) and \( L_2 = 0.69 \, \text{nH} \).

The steps of the extraction of the equivalent circuit are:

1. Design the series RLC circuit and extract the values of the series RLC circuit. Make sure the series RLC...
Fig. 4. Equivalent circuits of the stub: (a) working at 32.5 and 43.9 GHz, respectively and (b) working at all the working band.

circuit resonates at 43.9 GHz. The simulated $Y_{in,s}$ in this step is shown in Fig. 5(a). As observed, a series RLC resonance at 43.9 GHz is generated.

2. Design the parallel RLC circuit and extract the values of $R_2$ and $L_2$. Make sure the parallel RLC resonance at 32.5 GHz is generated. As observed in Fig. 5(a), the $\text{im}(Y_{in})$ is positive. So, an inductance $L_2$ is paralleled with the series RLC circuit to achieve the parallel RLC resonance at 32.5 GHz. The simulated $Y_{in,s}$ in this step is shown in Fig. 5(b). As observed, a parallel RLC resonance at 32.5 GHz is generated while the series RLC resonance at 43.9 GHz do not change mostly. This is because the impedance of the parallel RLC circuit at 43.9 GHz is small, which can short the $R_2$ and $L_2$.

The simulated $|S_{11}|$ and $Y_{in}$ of the antenna without the ring-shaped stub but combined with this equivalent circuit compared with the dipole antenna with the stub are given in Figs. 5(c) and (d). As observed, they agree with each other well, which proves the proposed working principle is reasonable.

To further verify the working principle, the current distributions on the circular aperture and two arms at different times are depicted in Fig. 6. $T$ is the period of oscillation at each frequency. The currents on the aperture at any time should be the sum of the travelling-wave currents introduced by the dipole for transferring energy and the standing-wave currents introduced by the stub for wide-band (this is the same as $I_t = I_d + I_s$, as shown in Fig. 4(a)). The travelling-wave currents must converge at point A while the standing-wave currents may not. Moreover, when a parallel RLC circuit resonates, the currents on it should be small because of the large input impedance. On the contrary, when a series RLC circuit resonates, the currents on it should be large for the small input impedance.
The currents on the aperture at the first resonance at 32.5 GHz converge at point A at both times, which means the travelling-wave currents are strong while the standing-wave currents are weak. This also indicates that the stub resonates as a parallel RLC circuit at the frequency and the stub has little influence on the dipole. At the second resonance at 37.7 GHz, the currents on the aperture converge at point A when \( t = T/4 \) and they do not converge at point A when \( t = 0 \). The standing-wave currents and the travelling-wave currents appear alternately, which indicates the frequency is near the series resonance pole and the stub has a great influence on the dipole. So the bandwidth is improved at higher frequencies while remaining unchanged at lower frequencies. The currents on the two arms are in the same direction and strong at 32.5 and 37.7 GHz, which indicates the two arms are the main radiator at both resonances. The currents at 43.9 GHz do not converge at point A at any times. And the standing-wave currents are stronger than that at 37.7 GHz. This is because the stub resonates as a series RLC circuit at 43.9 GHz. As a result, the input impedance of the stub is small at 43.9 GHz, while the input impedance of the dipole is large. As a result, the dipole is shorted by the stub, which can be validated by the weak currents on the two arms as shown in Fig. 6(i). The currents on the two arms are also opposite.

### 2.3 Parameter Study

As shown in Fig. 7(a), the lengths of the two arms \( l_1 \) and \( l_2 \) have significant influence on the first resonance frequency. As \( l_1 \) is increased and \( l_2 \) is decreased while keeping the total length of the two arms unchanged, the first resonance frequency shifts to higher frequency while the second resonance frequency remains almost the same. The effect of the width of the two arms on \( |S_{11}| \) is illustrated in Fig. 7(b). Figures 8 and 9(a) plot the effects of the height over the ground \( h_4 \) and dimensions of the ring-shaped stub on \( |S_{11}| \). With the increasing of the radius and width of the stub, the second resonance frequency converts to lower frequency while the first resonance frequency remains unchanged mostly. At the same time, when \( h_4 \) is increased, the second resonance frequency is transformed to higher frequency while the second resonance frequency remains almost the same. The stub has great impact on the second resonance frequency. Figure 9(b) depicts the effect of the height \( h_1 \) between the two arms and ground on \( |S_{11}| \).

### 2.4 Measured Results and Discussions

To verify the simulations above, a prototype was built and measured. Figure 10 shows the geometry of the proposed dipole antenna prototype. For increasing the adhesion grounds located on the edge of the antenna which have...
little effect on the performance of the antenna were removed. The size of the antenna is $7.5 \times 19 \text{ mm}^2$, and the thickness is 0.94 mm.

A 2.92-mm connector was used to measure the performance of the antenna, and in order to weld the 2.92-mm connector easily, a transition between microstrips with different thicknesses, as shown in Fig. 11, was designed to widen the width of the microstrip. The detailed design principle, process and simulated results had been illustrated in our paper [8], so they are not illustrated here for brevity.

The photographs of the dipole antenna prototype, measuring environment, simulated and measured $|S_{11}|$ and gains of the antenna are shown in Fig. 12. The meanings of the abbreviations are: sim: simulated, mea: measured, inc: including, exc: excluding, conn: 2.92-mm connector and $\tan \delta$: the loss tangent of the substrate of the 2.92-mm connector.

As observed, the simulated $|S_{11}|$ of the antenna shown in Fig. 12 excluding the 2.92-mm connector is almost the same as that of the antenna shown in Fig. 2, the small difference between them is caused by the transition shown in Fig. 11 and the larger LTCC substrate around the dipole. The measured $|S_{11}|$ is better than the simulated one excluding the 2.92-mm connector, which is mainly caused by the high loss of the transition from the microstrip to the 2.92-mm connector, because the reflected wave will be attenuated by twice.

For verifying this, the measured $|S_{11}|$ and $|S_{12}|$ of the back-to-back 2.92-mm connectors are shown in Fig. 13. The substrate, which is 30 mm in length, is RO4003C with dielectric permittivity $\varepsilon_r = 3.55$ and loss tangent $\tan \delta = 0.0027$. The connector was welded by the same person under the same condition as it was welded in the prototype. The loss of the back-to-back 2.92-mm connectors is about 3 dB. As a result, the loss of single connector is about 1.5 dB including 0.5-dB loss of the connector itself, about 0.5-dB simulated loss of the transition from the connector to the microstrip (the connector is set to be lossless) and 0.5-dB welding error. This 1.5-dB loss will improve the measured $|S_{11}|$. For easy understanding, the loss tangent of the substrate of the 2.92-mm connector is changed for introducing the 1.5-dB loss. As shown in Fig. 12, when $\tan \delta = 0.1$, the simulated loss of the 2.92-mm connector is about 1.5 dB. The simulated $|S_{11}|$ of the antenna including the 2.92-mm connector when $\tan \delta = 0.1$ is more like the measured one, which proves the 1.5-dB loss of the 2.92-mm connector will improve the measured $|S_{11}|$ as shown in Fig. 12(b). The measured gain is plotted in Fig. 12(c). All the gains in this communication are realized gains. As observed, the measured gain excluding the connector agrees well with the simulated one when the frequency is higher than 31 GHz. The measured gain excluding the connector is lower than the simulated one when the frequency is lower than 31 GHz, which is because the measured $|S_{11}|$ below 31 GHz is worse than the simulation as shown in Fig. 12(b).
3. Antenna Array

3.1 Antenna Array Configuration

A 2 × 2 array was also designed. Figure 15 shows the geometry of the proposed array. The design principle of the array is the same as that of the antenna element. The size of the array is 15 × 25 × 0.94 mm³. The inner-element spacing of the array is 4 mm (0.47λ₀, λ₀ is the wavelength in free space at 35 GHz). A planar parallel microstrip feeding network was used to feed the 2 × 2 antenna elements with equal amplitude and phase. A 2.92-mm connector was also used to measure the performance of the proposed array.

3.2 Measured Results and Discussions

An array prototype was also built and measured. The photographs of the array prototype, measuring environment, simulated and measured |S₁₁|s and gains of the proposed array are shown in Fig. 16. As observed, the measured |S₁₁| is also better than the simulated one excluding the 2.92-mm connector, which is also caused by the high loss of the transition from the microstrip to the 2.92-mm connector. The simulated |S₁₁| of the array including the 2.92-mm connector when tan δ = 0.1 is also more like the measured one, which proves the 1.5-dB loss of the 2.92-mm connector will improve the measured |S₁₁| again as shown in Fig. 16(b). The measured gain is plotted in Fig. 16(b). As observed, the measured gains excluding the connector agree well with the simulated ones when the frequency is higher than 31 GHz. The measured gain excluding the connector is higher than the simulated one when the frequency is lower than 31 GHz, because the beam width of the measured radiation pattern at 31 GHz is narrower than the simulated ones as shown in Fig. 17(b).

The measured –10-dB |S₁₁| bandwidth is over 28.6% covering the frequency range of 30 to 40 GHz. Meanwhile, the array achieves a maximum gain of 10.37 dBi at 31 GHz with a fluctuation of 1.8 dB over 31-39 GHz frequency range.

Simulated and measured radiation patterns of the array at 31, 35, and 39 GHz are indicated in Fig. 17. The measured radiation patterns agree with the simulated ones at 35 and 39 GHz. The beam width of the measured radiation pattern at 31 GHz is narrower than the simulated one.

The cross polarization increases with the increasing of frequency, because there are larger standing-wave currents on the circular aperture at higher frequencies. However, the cross polarization does not increase seriously because the circular aperture cannot radiate effectively.

Table 2 compares the performance of the proposed array and reported linearly polarized LTCC arrays for mmW applications. The proposed array provides the widest bandwidth.
Using LTCC technology, a 35-GHz vertical off-center-fed dipole antenna element with wideband fed by microstrip is proposed, fabricated and measured. A ring-shaped stub was paralleled with a vertical off-center-fed microstrip is proposed, fabricated and measured. A ring-ter-fed dipole antenna element with wideband fed by microstrip network was fabricated and measured. The proposed antenna exhibits wideband and high-gain characteristics.
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Table 2. Comparison to reported LTCC arrays.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Center Freq.</th>
<th>Elements No.</th>
<th>Bandwidth</th>
<th>Gain</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[1]</td>
<td>Patch</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>4 × 4</td>
<td>7.8%</td>
<td>17.2 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[5]</td>
<td>Patch</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>2 × 2</td>
<td>23%</td>
<td>9 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[21]</td>
<td>SIW cavity</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>8 × 8</td>
<td>17.1%</td>
<td>22.1 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[7]</td>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>60 GHz</td>
<td>4 × 4</td>
<td>15.7%</td>
<td>15.6 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>35 GHz</td>
<td>2 × 2</td>
<td>19.5%</td>
<td>10 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>Dipole</td>
<td>35 GHz</td>
<td>2 × 2</td>
<td>28.6%</td>
<td>10.37 dBi</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

4. Conclusion

Conclusion to reported LTCC arrays.
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