Multiband Filter Design Using Generalized Mapping Functions and Synthesis with Lumped Resonators
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Abstract. In this paper, a new multiband frequency mapping function is proposed to design multiband filter. The presented mapping function is a generalized form of the sequential low pass to band pass (LPtoBP) transformation. The multiband filter design is based on the application of the frequency mapping function on a LP prototype. The synthesis of the resulting multiband filter is obtained by lumped element resonators. Several examples are presented to validate the proposed design approach. A triple band filter implementation and measurement results are presented.
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1. Introduction

Multiband filters have become essential parts for most of RF/MW systems in recent years [1], [2]. A multiband transceiver provides transmission of fundamental frequency and the reception of its second or third harmonic on a single RF path. Therefore, significant size reduction can be achieved compared to using several single band-pass filters in parallel configuration. Besides the robustness of the system, also overall RF performance is enhanced since using multiband filters eliminates the needs for extra RF modules such as switches, combiners, connectors etc.

In general multiband systems are considered as an alternative solution to UWB systems [3]. Through the development of wireless communication technologies such as GSM900, UMTS, 4G, 5G, etc., RF hardware must be designed to provide services to those protocols which have distinct frequency bands. Proper filtering at the RF front-end of wireless devices is essential for most of applications. Ideally the unnecessary frequency bands between adjacent pass bands must be filtered out to avoid the degenerative effects such as interference, noise, harmonics, heat etc. [4]. This can be achieved by employing multiband filters in RF systems.

Several design approaches were published in literature for the synthesis and implementation of multiband filters. The analytical approaches for this problem are focused on two main tracks. The first is to establish the explicit parametric definition of multiband two-port network in terms of zeros and poles of driving point impedance or admittance [5–8]. Even if an explicit mathematical definition of a multiband filter is established, the synthesis of the multiband filter functions is resulted mostly in unrealizable topologies [9], [10].

In the second approach, frequency transformations and network mapping techniques are used. This approach maps the scaled pass-band characteristic of a pre-assigned prototype network into multiband characteristic. The main drawback in this method is the generation of a suitable mapping functions and their application on a prototype network [1]. Several studies are published in this track. A major study [11] employs finite transmission zeros which are generated by suitable dual band frequency transformation at stop band. In [12], a single band to multi-resonance mapping function is presented from a synthesis point of view. The proposed transformation function is a Foster reactance function including series and shunt resonators. The values of the elements are used in transformation functions at selected resonance frequencies. Creating multiple pass-bands with defined bandwidths does not seem to be possible with this technique. In [13], the multiband mapping functions are well elaborated. It has been presented that by using an intermediate unsymmetrical transformation step, it is possible to generate desired number of pass-bands although the intermediate step is not clearly explained. Several other studies are also published in this fashion with different mapping functions which introduce alternative solutions to multiband filters with different topologies [14–17].

From the designer point of view, the most preferred way is, to have a simple parametric form of multiband mapping function in terms of the desired number of pass-bands and their bandwidths. Moreover, explicit relations
should be provided for the synthesis of multiband filter from prototype network.

In this paper, a multiband frequency mapping function for the design of multiband filters is proposed. The mapping function is a generalized and improved version of sequential LPtoBP transformation. The presented approach does not require any intermediate step to generate multiband frequency mapping function. It has been shown that the proposed generalized compact mapping function yields the same results as those sequential approaches used in [17] and [18]. The paper provides an explicit proof to validate these relations. Moreover, the proposed mapping function enables the process of generating the odd number of pass-bands, while the classical sequential LPtoBP mapping functions [16–19] do not.

In this technique, first the multiband mapping function is determined according to assigned band specifications. Then any LP prototype network of Chebyshev, Elliptical or Butterworth, type is chosen as reference. As a final step, the synthesis of the multiband filter is performed by the transformation of each element of the LP prototype to its multiband correspondent. As a result, the multiband network is achieved which will be composed of LC resonators.

In Sec. 2 of this paper, the construction of the multiband frequency transformation through the sequential LPtoBP transformation is introduced. In Sec. 3, the lumped synthesis of the transformed reactance functions and their related circuit topologies are presented. In Sec. 4, the dual band filter application in [18] is re-visited with the proposed technique to demonstrate the relation between the proposed technique and the sequential LPtoBP transformation method. In Sec. 5, a triple band filter design, application, realization steps and measurement results are presented.

2. The Multiband Mapping Function

The aim of most of the design methods for multiband filters is to establish an analytical relation for the filter’s response in terms of the filter topology. A major track in that manner is the use of frequency transformations.

The sequential application of the LPtoBP transformation generates even number of pass-bands after each sequential step. Nevertheless the band specifications of the multiband response cannot be directly controlled at each sequential step [16–18]. In [16] a direct LP to DB transformation is introduced in terms of the dual band specifications. By setting the corner frequencies of dual band characteristic, an LP to DB mapping function is generated in order to be applied on LP prototype to obtain the desired dual band form.

As illustrated in Fig. 1, a dual band gain characteristic is obtained [16] with the mapping function in (1). The associated frequency mapping diagram of the transformation is provided in Fig. 2.

$$
\Omega_2(w) = \frac{1}{B_2 - B_{s2}} \left( \frac{w^2 - w_{02}^2}{w} - \frac{B_2 B_{s2}}{B_2 - B_{s2}} \left( \frac{w}{w^2 - w_{02}^2} \right) \right),
$$

$$
B_{s2} = w_4 - w_1, \quad B_{s2} = w_5 - w_2.
$$

Here, one can define an auxiliary variable such as;

$$
\Omega_{s2}(w) = \frac{w^2 - w_{02}^2}{w}.
$$

This variable is used for further simplification of the sequential LPtoBP transformation. It provides an overlook to see the general pattern of the sequential mapping functions.

Then the mapping function in (1) can be simplified by incorporating (2) as;

$$
\Omega_2(w) = \frac{1}{B_2 - B_{s2}} \Omega_{s2}(w) - \frac{B_2 B_{s2}}{B_2 - B_{s2}} \frac{1}{\Omega_{s2}(w)}.
$$

As illustrated in Fig. 3, a quad-band gain characteristic is obtained [16] with the mapping function in (3).
In [17] a quad band frequency transformation function is presented as another extension of the sequential LPtoBP mapping technique. As given in Fig. 3, a normalized LP prototype characteristic is mapped to QB gain characteristic in three sequential steps. The associated mapping diagram for the resultant mapping function in (4) is also presented in Fig. 4.

\[
\Omega_s(w) = \frac{1}{(B_2 - B_{52})B_4} \left( \frac{w^2 - w_4^2}{w} \right) - \frac{1}{(B_2 - B_{52})B_1} \left( \frac{w}{w^2 - w_4^2} \right)
\]

This variable is incorporated in (4) then the mapping function in (4) is simplified as:

\[
\Omega_{si}(w) = \left( \frac{w^2 - w_{si}^2}{w} \right).
\]

Here we use a similar auxiliary variable with different geometrical mean frequency as:

\[
\Omega_{si}(w) = \left( \frac{w^2 - w_{si}^2}{w} \right).
\]

As it can be interpreted from the mapping schemes in Fig. 1 and Fig. 3, each sequential step generates even ordered pass-bands. However a triple band transformation function can be derived by combining \( \Omega_s \) and the \( \Omega_{si} \) as:

\[
\Omega_g(w) = \Omega_s(w) + \frac{B_{si}}{(B_2 - B_{52})B_4} \frac{1}{(B_2 - B_{52})B_1} \frac{1}{\Omega_{si}(w)}
\]

Then the mapping function in (7) can be simplified as:

\[
\Omega_g(w) = \frac{1}{(B_2 - B_{52})B_4} \left( \frac{w^2 - w_4^2}{w} \right) - \frac{1}{(B_2 - B_{52})B_1} \left( \frac{w}{w^2 - w_4^2} \right)
\]

Even if the parametric form in (10) does not provide a direct determination of band characteristic of the triple band gain characteristic, it gives us an indication of the path to derive transformation function with odd ordered pass-bands by using weighted combinations of distinct steps of sequential LPtoBP transformations.

As it can be seen in the mapping diagrams in Fig. 2, Fig. 4 and Fig. 5, each asymptote maps the LP prototype response to its associated pass-band at the overall multiband characteristic. These asymptotes directly control the edge frequencies of each pass-band. This result and the forms of the transformation equations in (3), (6) and (10) lead us to propose a multiband mapping function as a generalized extension of the sequential LPtoBP transformations. To obtain multiband gain characteristic with assigned number of pass-bands, there must be a suitable multiband mapping function whose mapping diagram must have assigned number of asymptotes which correspond to the multiple of pass-bands.
Considering the mapping diagram in Fig. 6, if the asymptotes are placed on the mapping diagram at assigned places with assigned slopes, then the number of pass-bands and their characteristics can be controlled.

The normalized LP characteristic in $\Omega_N$ domain is mapped to multiband gain characteristic in $w$ domain. Here we propose the following generalized mapping function in (11) to generate such a mapping diagram.

$$\Omega_{0N}(w) = \frac{w^2 - w_{0N}^2}{w}.$$

$$\Omega_N(w) = a_1\Omega_{0N}(w) - \frac{1}{a_1\Omega_{0N}(w) - \cdots - \frac{1}{a_N\Omega_{0N}(w)}}.$$

(11)

Here $N$ represents the number of pass-bands, and the pass-band edge frequencies are distributed around the geometrical center frequency of $w_{0N}$. The ripple characteristic of the LP prototype response is preserved through the transformation process unless bandwidths are scaled in accordance with the associated asymptote for each pass-band. Thus if $n$ degree LP prototype is chosen then the resultant $N$ band filter will be degree of $2N$. Since this mapping function is based on sequential LPtoBP mapping, the relations between the band edge frequencies is inherently preserved as in [16] and [17]. The following parametric relations should be satisfied as:

$$w_0 = \sqrt{w_1w_{2N}}, \quad w_1 < w_2 < \cdots < w_0 < \cdots < w_{2N-1} < w_{2N}.$$

(12)

In the proposed LP to multiband transformation, the number of $N + 1$ edge frequencies of the multiband response can be assigned independently, the remaining corner frequencies are determined under the geometric symmetry constraint of the mapping in (12). In this case, by selecting any of the $N + 1$ band edge frequencies, the mapping function can be derived in terms of $a_N$ coefficients. These coefficients determine the slope characteristics of the asymptotes at the mapping diagram. Moreover the mapping diagram in Fig. 6 displays that at corner frequencies $|\Omega_N(w)| = 1$. Setting the corner frequencies of multiband response will provide us number of $2N$ equations with $N$ unknown $a_N$ parameters. By selecting any set of $N$ equations from those $2N$ equations and using a numerical solver, these $a_N$ coefficients can be calculated.

For example, if the normalized cut-off frequencies are defined as $w_1 = 1, w_2 = 1.5, w_3 = 2$, and then $w_4 = 3$ as indicated in (12). The associated $a_N$ parameters are calculated by solving the following equations as below:

$$\Omega_{02}(w) = \sqrt{\frac{w_2^2 - w_{02}^2}{w}}, \quad \Omega_{02} = \sqrt{w_1w_2} = 1.732,$$

$$|\Omega_2(w)| = \frac{1}{\frac{w_2^2 - 3}{w_2} - \frac{w_1^2 - 3}{w_1}} = 1.$$

(13)

By choosing any two sets of those four equations these parameters can be calculated such as:

$$a_1\left(\frac{w_1^2 - 3}{w_1}\right) - \frac{1}{a_2\left(\frac{w_2^2 - 3}{w_2}\right)} = 1,$$

$$a_1\left(\frac{w_2^2 - 3}{w_3}\right) - \frac{1}{a_2\left(\frac{w_3^2 - 3}{w_3}\right)} = 1.$$

(14)

These parameters are calculated as $a_1 = 0.667, a_2 = 1.5$. The mapping function is derived as:

$$\Omega_2(w) = 0.667\Omega_{02}(w) - \frac{1}{1.5\Omega_{02}(w)}.$$

(15)

The generated mapping function is applied on the 11th degree equal ripple Chebyshev LP prototype [1] and the resulting dual band gain and return loss characteristic are as shown in Fig. 7. This filter is 44th degree (i.e. $2(2 \times 11)$) as a result of application of mapping function on filter transfer function.

Considering the following triple band mapping function example, the normalized cut-off frequencies are assigned as $w_1 = 0.85, w_2 = 1.15, w_3 = 3, w_3 = 1.5$, and the
remaining corner frequencies are calculated as \( w_1 = 1.7, w_5 = 2.2174 \) by using the relation in (12). The associated \( a_N \) parameters are calculated by solving the following equation as:

\[
\Omega_m(w) = \frac{w^2 - w_m^2}{w}, \quad w_m = \sqrt{w_{m1} w_{m2}} = 1.5969,
\]

\[
|\Omega_j(w)| = \left| a_N \Omega_m(w) - \frac{1}{a_N \Omega_m(w) - \frac{1}{a_N \Omega_m(w)}} \right| = 1.
\]

By choosing any three sets of those six equations these parameters can be calculated as;

\[
a_1 \left( \frac{w_1^2 - 2.55}{w_1} \right) - \frac{1}{a_2 \left( \frac{w_1^2 - 2.55}{w_1} \right) - \frac{1}{a_3 \left( \frac{w_1^2 - 2.55}{w_1} \right)}} = 1,
\]

\[
a_1 \left( \frac{w_3^2 - 2.55}{w_3} \right) - \frac{1}{a_2 \left( \frac{w_3^2 - 2.55}{w_3} \right) - \frac{1}{a_3 \left( \frac{w_3^2 - 2.55}{w_3} \right)}} = 1,
\]

\[
a_1 \left( \frac{w_s^2 - 2.55}{w_s} \right) - \frac{1}{a_2 \left( \frac{w_s^2 - 2.55}{w_s} \right) - \frac{1}{a_3 \left( \frac{w_s^2 - 2.55}{w_s} \right)}} = 1.
\]

These parameters are found as \( a_1 = 0.7797, a_2 = 0.7455, a_3 = 3.7486 \). The mapping function is derived as

\[
\Omega_m(w) = 0.7797\Omega_m(w) - \frac{1}{0.7455\Omega_m(w) - \frac{1}{3.7486\Omega_m(w)}}.
\]

This mapping function is applied on the 9th degree equal ripple Chebyshev LP prototype [1] and the resulting triple band gain and return loss characteristic are shown in Fig. 8. This filter turns out to be the 54th degree (i.e. \( 3(2 \times 9) \)) as a result of application of the mapping function on the prototype filter transfer function.

In this method, the selectivity of the multiband filter inherently depends on complexity of the chosen LP prototype network and also on assigned cut-off frequencies of the multiband response. Higher degree LP prototypes will provide more selective multiband filters with same cut-off frequencies. The cut-off frequencies of the multiband filter can be chosen arbitrarily under the constraint in (12). If a lower degree LP prototype is chosen, and the pass-bands are placed closely with each other, this may cause signal crosstalk due to limited attenuation between pass-bands [15].

3. Lumped Synthesis of Transformed Reactance

The synthesis of multiband network is obtained by the successive application of the determined multiband mapping function on the chosen LP prototype network. Each reactive element of the LP prototype network is mapped to multiband correspondences by using the multiband mapping function as a new frequency variable. Thus the one port synthesis of each transformed element is achieved.

Here we assume the prototype LP network is only composed of lossless reactive elements. Given a capacitor or an inductor, the multiband transformed impedance or admittance can be derived as:

\[
Z_e(\Omega_m(w)) = j\Omega_m(w)L,
\]

\[
Y_e(\Omega_m(w)) = j\Omega_m(w)C.
\]

One port synthesis of the admittance or impedance above is synthesized as cascaded series and/or shunt reso-
The element values of the each resonator are deduced directly from the mapped reactance function in (19) and (20).

Considering the dual-band mapping function as:
\[
\Omega_2(w) = a_1 \left( \frac{w^2 - w_{01}^2}{w} \right) - \frac{1}{a_2 \left( \frac{w^2 - w_{02}^2}{w} \right)}.
\]

The dual band transformed impedance of inductor is derived as:
\[
Z_i(\Omega_2(w)) = j\Omega_2(w)L = \frac{jwL + \frac{1}{a_1 L w_{02}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{02}^2}}{jwL + \frac{a_1}{L} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{02}^2}}
\]
\[
= \frac{jwL + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{02}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{02}^2}}{jwL + \frac{a_1}{L} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{02}^2}},
\]
\[
L_1 = a_1 L, \quad C_1 = \frac{1}{a_1 L w_{02}^2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{a_1}{L}, \quad L_2 = \frac{L}{a_1 w_{02}^2}.
\]

The dual band transformed admittance of capacitor is derived as:
\[
Y_c(\Omega_2(w)) = j\Omega_2(w)C = \frac{jwC + \frac{1}{a_1 C w_{02}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{02}^2}}{jwC + \frac{1}{a_1 C w_{02}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{02}^2}}
\]
\[
= \frac{jwC + \frac{1}{a_1 C w_{02}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 C w_{02}^2}}{jwC + \frac{1}{a_1 C w_{02}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 C w_{02}^2}},
\]
\[
C_1 = a_1 C, \quad L_1 = \frac{1}{a_1 C w_{02}^2}, \quad L_2 = \frac{a_1}{C}, \quad C_2 = \frac{C}{a_1 w_{02}^2}.
\]

The triple band transformed impedance of inductor is derived as:
\[
Z_i(\Omega_3(w)) = j\Omega_3(w)L = \frac{jwL + \frac{1}{a_1 L w_{03}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{03}^2}}{jwL + \frac{a_1}{L} + \frac{1}{a_1 w_{03}^2}}
\]
\[
= \frac{jwL + \frac{1}{a_1 L w_{03}^2} + \frac{1}{a_1 L w_{03}^2}}{jwL + \frac{a_1}{L} + \frac{1}{a_1 L w_{03}^2}},
\]
\[
L_1 = a_1 L, \quad C_1 = \frac{1}{a_1 L w_{03}^2}, \quad L_2 = \frac{L}{a_1 w_{03}^2}, \quad C_2 = \frac{a_1}{L}.
\]

4. Dual Band Filter Design for GSM900 and GSM1800/UMTS

In this section, the dual band filter design in [18] is re-considered by employing the new method. Here, the aim
of this process is the validation of the relation between the proposed mapping function and the sequential LP to DB mapping function.

The band specifications in [18] are preserved therefore the normalized parameters of the mapping function are $w_1 = 0.85$, $w_2 = 1.15$, $w_4 = 2.3$ and the last edge frequency is determined using (12) as $w_3 = 1.7$. The geometric center frequency is calculated as $w_0 = 1.398$ by using (12).

The mapping function in [18] is derived using (1) as:

$$\begin{align*}
\Omega_4(w) &= \frac{1}{B_2 - B_3} \left( \frac{w^2 - w_0^2}{w} \right) - \frac{B_2 - B_3}{w_2^2} \left( \frac{w}{w^2 - w_0^2} \right), \\
B_2 &= w_4 - w_1 = 1.45, \\
B_3 &= w_3 - w_2 = 0.55,
\end{align*}$$

(27)

If we apply the new multiband mapping function in (11) for the assigned band characteristic then the mapping function is derived as:

$$\begin{align*}
\Omega_0(w) &= \frac{w^2 - w_0^2}{w}, \\
\omega_0 &= \sqrt{w_1 w_2} = 1.398,
\end{align*}$$

(28)

The two sets of equations are solved and the unknown parameters are calculated as $a_1 = 1.111$, $a_2 = 1.285$.

Eventually the mapping function is derived as:

$$\Omega_4(w) = 1.111 \Omega_0(w) - \frac{1}{1.285 \Omega_0(w)}.$$  

(29)

As seen in (27) and in (29), the mapping functions are identical. This emphasizes that the proposed technique is a generalized form of the classical sequential LPToBP mapping approach.

5. Triple Band Filter Design Example

In this section, a triple band filter design is considered. The normalized cut-off frequencies are chosen as $w_1 = 0.45$, $w_2 = 0.75$, $w_3 = 0.99$, $w_4 = 2.7$, then the remaining corner frequencies are calculated as $w_5 = 1.2273$, $w_6 = 1.62$ by using (12). The associated $a_{\Omega}$ parameters are calculated by solving the 3 equations from those six equations below:

$$\begin{align*}
\Omega_6(w) &= \frac{w^2 - w_0^2}{w}, \\
\omega_6 &= \sqrt{w_1 w_2} = 1.1023,
\end{align*}$$

$$\begin{align*}
[\Omega_j(w)] &= a_j \Omega_0(w) - \frac{1}{a_j \Omega_0(w)} - \frac{1}{a_j \Omega_0(w)}, \\
&= 1.
\end{align*}$$

(30)

These parameters are found as $a_1 = 0.6183$, $a_2 = 1.2043$, $a_3 = 2.8913$. The mapping function is derived as:

$$\Omega_1(w) = 0.6183 \Omega_0(w) - \frac{1}{1.2043 \Omega_0(w)} - \frac{1}{2.8913 \Omega_0(w)}.$$  

(31)

This mapping function is applied on the 3rd degree equal ripple Chebyshev LP prototype as given in Fig. 11.

The triple band filter is achieved by applying the mapping function in (31) to each reactive component of the LP prototype network.

Since the explicit network topologies of the triple band transformed inductor and capacitor are given in Fig. 10, the transformed triple band filter network is synthesized as in Fig. 12. The gain and return loss characteristic of the triple band filter are given in Fig. 13.

Using the realistic element models and performing the EM simulation in ADS momentum environment, the realizable version of the filter network is generated. To do this, first the paths and soldering pads are designed to connect lumped elements to each other. But these structures inevitably affect the performance by acting as distributed elements and generate extra inductances and capacitances. Therefore to approach to the similar results with ideal lumped design, all lumped components are tuned and changed with their realistic counterparts (for capacitances GJM15 series from Murata and for inductances 06CS series from Coilcraft are used), which include the parasitics and loss of realistic models. Only C5 and C7 in Fig. 12 are realized as open radial stubs for convenience in production.

![Fig. 11. The 3rd degree equal ripple Chebyshev LP prototype network (Rs = 50 Ω, C1 = 15.98 pF, L2 = 29.58 nH, C3 = 15.98 pF, RL = 50 Ω).](image)

Fig. 13. Gain and return loss response of the triple band filter.

Fig. 14. Layout of the implemented triple band filter.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Element</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C1, C6</td>
<td>0.6 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L1, L6, L8, L9</td>
<td>10 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C2, C7</td>
<td>5.6 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L2, L7</td>
<td>3.9 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stub1, Stub2</td>
<td>L = 7 mm, Ang = 60 Deg, W1 = 0.5 mm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C3</td>
<td>3.0 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L3</td>
<td>5.1 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L4</td>
<td>3.6 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>C4</td>
<td>3.3 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>0.8 pF</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L5</td>
<td>23 nH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB</td>
<td>FR4, 1.6 mm, εr = 4.8</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 1. Component values of the prototyped filter.

Fig. 15. (a) Implemented filter, (b) measurement set-up.

[19]. After this process, overall performance of the filter network is optimized and the obtained best results are used for the production phase. The layout of the prototyped filter is given in Fig. 14. The element values are given in Tab. 1.

Fig. 16. Gain response of the triple band filter.

Fig. 17. Return loss response of the triple band filter.

Manufactured mixed element prototype of the triple band filter and the measurement set-up are given in Fig. 15a and Fig. 15b, respectively.

The implemented filter is measured by using Rohde&Schwarz ZVB14 network analyzer. Comparison of S21 and S11 responses of the ideal lumped model and the implemented filter are given in Fig. 16 and Fig. 17, respectively.

The performances of the designed ideal lumped triple band filter and the implemented mixed triple band filter are consistent with each other. The observed difference between the ideal and the measurement performances are due to element approximations in implemented filter, EM models inconsistencies, component tolerances, dielectric losses, and also measurement inaccuracies.

6. Conclusion

In this paper, a new generalized multiband frequency mapping function and its usage in multiband filter design is introduced. The constraints of the mapping function are elaborated. The proposed mapping function is a generalized form of the well-known sequential LPtoBP transformation.
A direct relation between LP and multiband responses is provided using the proposed multiband mapping function. The applications of the multiband mapping function for different number of pass-bands are presented. The synthesis of multiband filter is obtained by using multiband element mapping of a chosen prototype network. The explicit transformed network topologies are presented for dual band and triple band mapping functions. A triple band filter design and its implementation is presented. The measurement results showed that the performance of the implemented filter is consistent with the aimed triple band design specifications.
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