A Broadband High Gain Circularly Polarized Antenna System for Cognitive Radio
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Abstract. This paper proposes a broadband high gain LHCP (left hand circular polarized) antenna system using a microstrip line fed slot antenna, reflecting surfaces, and linear polarization (LP) to circular polarization (CP) transformer screen. Gain enhancement principle adopts Fabry-Perot (FP) method using phase compensation in partially reflecting surface (PRS) for increasing bandwidth from 720 MHz to 1.14 GHz. For linear polarization, the system gain is 20.1 dBi at 13.8 GHz with a bandwidth of 1.01 GHz. Using a polarization transformer screen for circular polarization, marginally decreases the gain to 18.8 dBi pulling down the frequency to 13.75 GHz with 3 dB axial ratio. Simulated results agree well with measured results from a fabricated prototype.
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1. Introduction

The Cognitive Radio for Satellite Communication (CoRaSat) project built a platform for investigating, developing, and demonstrating cognitive radio (CR) techniques for efficient spectrum exploitation in Satellite Communication (SatCom) systems. SatCom technology deals with greater capacity, higher quality in communication, and wider coverage at remote and sparsely populated areas [1–3]. Thus, it requires a CR antenna system with high gain, low sidelobes, and circular polarization (CP). Two commonly used principles for high gain are antenna arraying and Fabry-Perot (FP) method [4–11]. The former increases the footprint of the antenna system as it requires lateral expansion both for feed and placement of radiating elements. Moreover, the sidelobe level (SLL) may not be below 10 dB in some cases. Therefore, sometimes this principle is avoided. On the other hand, based on stacking, the FP [12–21] offers the smallest footprint. Even in this configuration, employing multi-layer PRS for high gain with desired sidelobe level and cross-polarization, is difficult. Further, polarization conversion screens can degrade the gain performance in few cases. Another problem with this is the degradation of bandwidth due to loading layers [22–34]. Thus, it is a challenge to realize a CP antenna system with high gain and –10 dB or smaller SLL over broadband with CP. In this work, we proposed a design to maximally meet these challenges.

2. Proposed Antenna System

Figure 1 shows the schematic of the proposed antenna system. It has four layers forming three air-filled cavities. The FP principle determines the spacing between the first two layers from the bottom. The bottom layer contains a microstrip line fed slot antenna radiating LP wave. The two layers above it are PRSs. The cell dimensions in the two layers are different but their distribution periodicity is the same. The topmost layer is a thin LP-CP converting screen. The aim here is to obtain high gain LP waves after the top PRS and convert them to CP by passing through the topmost screen. The challenges involved are obtaining SLL of at most –10 dB and minimize polarization conversion loss.

2.1 Antenna Design

Though the microstrip antenna is most popular planar antenna, it suffers from low bandwidth in its basic form. Therefore, we choose its dual, the planar slot antenna, for our system. Another advantage with this antenna is that the radiation comes from the slot on the ground which is the reflecting surface for the FP cavity, while its supporting dielectric and feeding microstrip line are on the opposite side. The bottom layer in Fig. 1(a), Fig. 1(b), and Fig. 1(c) respectively show the cross-sectional view of the antenna, the top view (i.e. slot), and the feed line. Table 1 lists the dimensions of slot length $L_1$, slot width $W_4$, feed line length $L_2$, and feed line width $W_5$. The substrate used is Rogers RT-duroid 5880 substrate ($\varepsilon_r = 2.2, \tan \delta = 0.0009$). Figure 2 shows the reflection characteristics at the feed point of the antenna. From Fig. 2(a), we see the impedance matching at 14.5 GHz. Being dual of microstrip antenna which has high Q and hence a narrow band, the slot antenna has lower Q and thus comparatively broadband. Figure 2(b) shows a smooth negative phase decreasing...
continuously with frequency except in the range which has proper matching. In this range, the slope becomes positive. This indicates that in this range the reactive part gets compensated to some extent resulting in release of EM energy as radiation. However, the phase continues to be negative. This may be due to the fact that though the reactive part of the antenna gets appropriately compensated with matching, the reactive contribution due to the feed line overcomes the compensation.

### 3. PRS Design

Like Frequency Selective Surface (FSS), the PRS is also a periodic arrangement of printed conducting elements on a dielectric substrate. For the proposed system, PRS shall form a cavity with conducting ground plane of the antenna for (i) increasing bandwidth, and (ii) enhancing gain. The array structure in the PRS can inherently add directivity and hence enhance the gain. PRS and the antenna (i.e. slot of the ground plane) form a Fabry-Perot cavity. They act as two boundary surfaces of the cavity. Both the surfaces need to have either positive or negative phase gradient. If one of them has positive and other has negative phase gradient, then it will lead to destructive interference between the fields reflected from the surfaces within the cavity. This will result in lower bandwidth of effective operation. Figure 2(b) shows negative phase profile for the lower surface, i.e. the slot antenna. Therefore, the PRS must have negative phase gradient. So, we need to exclude elements, resulting in positive phase gradient, like rings, crosses, rectangular patches [35–40], etc. Therefore, we choose hexagonal elements which give negative slope. By design, the upper cut-off frequency of the antenna and lower cut-off frequency of the cavity are in close proximity. Now, the antenna is loaded by a resonating cavity in a manner that the reflected fields within the cavity are interacting constructively. This results in extending the frequency band over which the system is matched to the feed line, as the resonant cavity loads the antenna and tries to draw more power from it over a larger frequency band (including the bandwidth of the cavity). This results in increasing the bandwidth of the system. Moreover, hexagonal elements are least sensitive to the angle of incidence as well as polarization and most effective in covering the surface [41–43].

The gain of the antenna with PRS depends on sides of the hexagon (R1 and /or R2), periodicity (P), the reflection coefficient of PRS, and distance between the radiating element and PRS layers (h2 and h3). Equation (1) determines the resonant distance [11],

$$h_i = \left( \frac{\Phi(0) + \lambda}{\pi} \right) \frac{N \lambda}{2}, \quad N = 0, 1, 2$$

where hi, i = 1,2 represents the resonant distance between the ground plane and the PRS, λ is the operating wavelength, Φ(0) is the reflection phase of the PRS for normal incidence and N is the resonating mode.

Table 2 shows the dimensions of the unit cell (Fig. 3) for PRS nearest to the antenna. The phase-frequency profile for this PRS is available in Fig. 4(a). It shows a small negative slope. Equation (1) calculates the distance between this PRS and the antenna. However, there is a loading effect on the antenna due to the PRS which pushes the operating frequency downward resulting in a small error in the calculation of the distance between the antenna and PRS. So, the antenna and the cavity shall resonate at different frequencies with a small separation. It results in coupled resonance with a marginal increase in bandwidth, as evident in Fig. 5(a). Figure 5(b) shows its phase-frequency profile. There is a sharp discontinuity in the phase...
around the lower resonating peak. The phase variation, around the upper resonating peak, is similar to that of the standalone antenna. Therefore, there is still scope of increasing the bandwidth further. For this, we need to work near the upper resonating peak. We used another PRS above the first PRS following the principle mentioned earlier.

<p>| | | | | |</p>
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$P_1$</td>
<td>$P_2$</td>
<td>$D_1$</td>
<td>$D_2$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>1.85</td>
<td>7</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 2.** Dimensions of PRS unit cell (Unit: mm).

**Fig. 3.** Geometry of the PRS unit cell.

**Fig. 4.** Reflection profile with frequency: (a) the first PRS, (b) PRS combination.

**Fig. 5.** Antenna input reflection characteristics with the first PRS layer.

**Fig. 6.** Antenna input reflection characteristics with PRS layer combination.

**Fig. 7.** Antenna input reflection characteristics with two PRS layers and polarization converter.

Figure 4(b) shows the phase-frequency profile for the PRS combination. The profile presents a negative slope larger than that of the first PRS. It results in enhancement of resonant peaks and bandwidth as evident from Fig. 6(a). Figure 6(b) shows the phase profile of the system. It has two sharp phase discontinuities indicating that scope for further bandwidth enhancement is limited. Both PRSs consist of $5 \times 5$ arrays of hexagonal elements. The antenna and PRSs use the same dielectric substrate.

### 4. Design of LP-CP Polarization Converter

The LP-CP polarization converter design adopts standard principles [22–26]. It consists of planar arrays of identical metallic elements printed on both sides of RT-duroid 5880 ($\varepsilon_r = 2.2, \tan\delta = 0.0009$) substrate. Each element, in this design, consists of an elliptic hexagonal ring embedded within a double split square ring as shown in Fig. 8(a). On each side, the array consists of $13 \times 13$ such elements. Table 3 shows the dimensions of the elements.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Parameter</th>
<th>Value (mm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$S_1$</td>
<td>6.30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_2$</td>
<td>2.70</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$S_3$</td>
<td>2.40</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_1$</td>
<td>0.50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_2$</td>
<td>0.65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W_3$</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$t$</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Tab. 3.** Dimensions of the proposed polarization conversion unit cell.
5. Results and Discussion

As discussed above, CST microwave studio simulated all designs used in this work. For verification of the concept, we fabricated a prototype of the system using dry etching as shown in Fig. 9. Assembling of the system used plastic screws and spacers (ECOSTOC PP dielectric foam, $\varepsilon_r = 1.03$). Impedance, pattern, and gain measurements used Agilent VNA (2-port PNA N5230A) and an anechoic chamber.

Figure 7 shows the $S_{11}$ characteristics of the proposed system. Two sharp changes in the phase profile indicate the two resonances for the system. Figure 10 shows simulated $S_{11}$ magnitude for the standalone antenna, and the antenna with one PRS, two PRSs as well as PRSs with LP-CP converter. It also includes measured $S_{11}$ magnitude on the complete prototype system for comparison. Downward shifts in resonant frequencies due to loading on the standalone antenna are clearly visible. The mismatch between simulated and measured results can be attributed to fabrication tolerances. This figure suggests an operational bandwidth from 13.67 GHz to 14.75 GHz with good impedance matching for the system.

Figure 11 shows 3D radiation patterns at 13.75 GHz and 13.8 GHz. It is evident from this figure that gain is better for two-cavity antenna compared to other configurations under consideration. Figure 12 compares simulated 2D patterns with measured ones for the proposed system at 13.75 GHz and 14.45 GHz. At 13.75 GHz there is a good matching with SLL below 10 dB. At 14.45 GHz there is a good matching for the main lobe, but there are many smaller lobes. The reason for this may be diffraction introduced by elements of the top layer. The measured half power beam width (HPBW) at 13.75 GHz is 14° in the E-plane and 13.4° in the H-plane. Similarly, at 14.45 GHz the HPBW is 11.1° in the E-plane and 10.3° in the H-plane. Figure 13 shows the simulated gain pattern at 13.8 GHz for the antenna with two PRSs and the proposed system. It shows a peak gain of 20 dBi with less than 5 dBi gain in side lobes. Table 4 compares the gain and bandwidth performances of the slot antenna, antenna with a single PRS, antenna with 2 PRSs and the complete system (i.e. antenna + 2 PRSs + LP-CP converter). In the above, the maximum gain is obtained using effective aperture as [44],

$$G_{\text{max}} = \left(\frac{4\pi}{\lambda_0}\right) A_{\text{eff}} \eta_a = 43.7 \text{ dBi}$$

where $\lambda_0$ is the operating wavelength, $A_{\text{eff}}$ is the effective aperture of the proposed antenna (80 mm × 80 mm) and $\eta_a$ is the efficiency of the proposed antenna (0.96) at 13.75 GHz. The aperture efficiency is found to be 42.86% at 13.75 GHz with an obtained gain of 18.8 dBi.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>No. of layer</th>
<th>Max. gain at frequency (GHz)</th>
<th>Max. gain (dBi)</th>
<th>Bandwidth (GHz)</th>
<th>Polarization type</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Antenna without layer</td>
<td>14.5</td>
<td>6.13</td>
<td>0.720</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna with single layer PRS</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>0.867</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna with double layer PRS</td>
<td>13.8</td>
<td>20.1</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>Linear</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Antenna with LP-CP converter</td>
<td>13.75</td>
<td>18.8</td>
<td>1.08 (Sim.)</td>
<td>Linear to circular polarization</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 4. Comparison of antenna performances with different layers.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Ref. no.</th>
<th>Procedure for designing</th>
<th>Features</th>
<th>Operating frequency band and resonating frequency in GHz</th>
<th>Peak gain at resonating frequency</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[8]</td>
<td>FPRA with thin layer superstrate (Using a microstrip line fed slot coupled patch antenna)</td>
<td>High gain Linear polarization</td>
<td>13.5–17.5</td>
<td>15 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[26]</td>
<td>Partially reflecting FSS superstrate with AMC ground</td>
<td>Moderate gain LP-CP conversion</td>
<td>13.3–14.4</td>
<td>13.9 GHz, 12.4 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[27]</td>
<td>Using two-layer PRS over CP patch antenna</td>
<td>Moderate gain LP-CP conversion</td>
<td>8.8–11.7</td>
<td>14.7 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[28]</td>
<td>Partially reflecting surface with AMC ground</td>
<td>High gain Circular polarization</td>
<td>12.95–13.95</td>
<td>13.4 GHz, 17.2 dB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[29]</td>
<td>Metasurface cavity based on LP-CP converter</td>
<td>Broadband gain with CP output</td>
<td>9.5–10.5</td>
<td>16.3 dBi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>This work</td>
<td>PRS with LP-CP transmission surface (Using a microstrip line fed-slot)</td>
<td>High gain Broadband LP-CP conversion</td>
<td>13.67–14.75</td>
<td>13.8 GHz for single PRS</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Tab. 5. Comparison between the proposed and previously reported cavity antennas.

Fig. 12. Simulated and measured normalized E-pattern at (a) 13.75 GHz and (b) 14.45 GHz.

Fig. 13. Simulated results for the gain of the proposed antenna with and without LP-CP converter.

Figure 14 shows the axial ratio over the considered frequency band. There are two distinct regions where the axial ratio is less than 3 dB. In rest of the region CP degrades, but axial ratio remains within tolerable limits. The reason for this may be the orientation of electric field being incident on to the polarizing screen. A low axial ratio requires x-polarized incident electric field. This might have been affected due to the presence of two PRSs.

Table 5 compares the performance of the proposed antenna system with earlier reported works. It shows that the performance is better in terms of gain and bandwidth, in all cases. As for circular polarization, the performance is comparable with others.

6. Conclusion

This article presents a detailed analysis of broadband high gain LHCP cavity antenna system. Two PRS layers consisting of hexagonal patch elements form a hybrid cavity with a planar slot antenna. A transmissive elliptic hex-
agonal ring embedded within a double split square ring is used for polarization conversion purpose. The antenna possesses a 3 dB bandwidth from 13.67 GHz to 14.75 GHz, with peak gain 18.8 dBi, at frequency 13.75 GHz. To the best knowledge of the authors, the proposed antenna will play a significant role in Cognitive Radio for satellite communication in Ku band, where circular polarization with high gain is preferred.
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